IMPROVING SERVICE PERFORMANCE OF USING SIMULATION SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY

ANASTASIA LIDYA MAUKAR

ERRI WAHYU PUSPITARINI





President University

IMPROVING SERVICE PERFORMANCE OF USING SIMULATION SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY

IMPROVING SERVICE PERFORMANCE OF USING SIMULATION SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY

Anastasia Lidya Maukar (President University)

Erri Wahyu Puspitarini

(STMIK Yadika Bangil)

Published by President University

Jalan Ki Hajar Dewantara, Mekarmukti, Cikarang Utara, Bekasi 17530

IMPROVING SERVICE PERFORMANCE OF USING SIMULATION SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY

© 2021

Reseacher

Anastasia Lidya Maukar

Erri Wahyu Puspitarini

Editor

Johan K. Runtuk

Book Cover Photo

https://www.freeimages.com/photo/discipline-1316346

Publisher

President University Jalan Ki Hajar Dewantara, Mekarmukti, Cikarang Utara Bekasi 17530

ISBN: 978-623-6655-46-7

PREFACE

This book discusses the application of system simulation methods to improve system performance. In particular, this book presents a discussion of improving system performance in a government service system, namely the SAMSAT Cikarang service. I hope this book will provide your introduction and understanding of system simulation methods and their use in evaluating system performance and improving performance.

The system simulation in this book uses ProModel software. This software provides features that are quite complete and easy to use. This software can be used to simulate service systems as well as manufacturing systems. Brief steps in using this software are also shown in this book.

I thank God for all His blessings. Thanks also to fellow lecturers and industrial engineering students at the President University who supported this book's completion.

Cikarang, March 2021

Researcher

TABLE OF CONTENT

PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Assumption	4
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 System's Definition	5
2.2 Simulation's Definition	5
2.2.1 Process of Simulation Experimentation	6
2.2.2 Steps to Apply and Develop Simulation	7
2.3 Model's Definition	11
2.4 ProModel Software	13
2.4.1 ProModel Methodology	14
2.4.2 ProModel Advantages	14
2.4.3 ProModel Elements	15
2.5 Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Sam Kabupaten Bekasi	sat) 19
3.1 Research Methodology	
3.2 Research Framework	21 24
	24 26
4.1 Identification of Entities	20 26

4.2 Ide	ntification of Locations	.27
4.3. Ide	entification Triggering Events	.34
4.4 Tin	ne Study	.34
4.5 Ind	lependence and Distribution Test	.37
4.6 Da	ta Documentation	.38
4.6.	1 Objective	.38
4.6.	2 Entity Flow Diagram	.38
4.6.	3 Processing Description and Sequence	.39
4.6.	4 Arrivals	.44
4.6.	5 Move Time	.44
4.6.	6 Work Schedule	.45
4.6.	7 Assumption List	.45
4.7 Cu	rrent Model	.45
4.8 Va	lidation and Verification	.52
4.9 Imj	proved System	.55
4.9.	1 Proposed System I (Shorter's Proposed System).	.55
4.9.	2 Proposed System II (Middle's Proposed System).	.58
4.9.	3 Proposed System III (Long's Proposed System)	.61
4.9.	4 Comparison Analysis	.64
4.10 O	utput Comparison	.65
4.10	0.1 Total Exits of Re-registration Entities	.65
4.10	0.2 Total Exits of Re-registration 5 Years Entities	.68
4.10	0.3 Vehicle Changing Information	.71
4.10	0.4 Eks Region Registration	.74
4.10	0.5 New Vehicle Registration	.76
CHAPTE	R V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	.81
5.1	Conclusion	.81
5.2	Recommendation	.82
REFERE	NCES	.83

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Time Study Result	35
Table 4.2 Processing Description 1	40
Table 4.3 Processing Description 2	41
Table 4.4 Processing Sequence	42
Table 4.5 Arrivals	44
Table 4.6 Moving Times	45
Table 4.7 Locations	48
Table 4.8 Entities	48
Table 4.9 Processing	49
Table 4.10 General Information	50
Table 4.11 Locations Output	50
Table 4.12 Location States Multi	51
Table 4.13 Location States Single	51
Table 4.14 EntityActivity	51
Table 4.15 Entity States	52
Table 4.16 Comparation between Current and Proposed 1 Location Capacity	56
Table 4.17 Location Proposed System_1	56
Table 4.18 Entities Proposed System_1	57
Table 4.19 Processing Proposed System_1	57
Table 4.20 Arrivals Proposed System1	58
Table 4.21 Comparison between Current and Reduced Service Time 1	/ice 58
Table 4.22 Location Proposed System 2	59
Table 4.23 Entities Proposed 2	59

Table 4.24 Processing Proposed 2	60
Table 4.25 Arrivals Proposed 2	60
Table 4.25 Comparison between Current and Proposed 2 Location Capacity	61
Table 4.26 Comparison between Current and Reduced Ser Time 2	vice 61
Table 4.27 Location Proposed 3	62
Table 4.28 Entities Proposed 3	62
Table 4.29 Processing Proposed 3	63
Table 4.30 Arrivals Proposed 3	63
Table 4.31 Total Exits of Reregistration Entities	65
Table 4.32 Total Exits of Reregistration 5 Years Entities	68
Table 4.33 Total Exits of Vehicle Changing Information Entities	71
Table 4.34 Total Exits of Reregistration Entities	74
Table 4.35 Total Exits of New Vehicle Registration Entities	76
Table 4.36 Summary of Comparison Results	79
Table 4.37 Summary of Proposed System Improvement	80

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Research Methodology	21
Figure 3.2 Research Framework	25
Figure 4.1 Check In Location	28
Figure 4.2 Fill Form Location	28
Figure 4.3 Information and Progressive Tax Location	29
Figure 4.4 Waiting Place for Registration Location	30
Figure 4.5 Payment Counter Location	32
Figure 4.6 STNK Counter Location	33
Figure 4.7 Entity Flow Diagram	39
Figure 4.8 ANOVA Result 1	66
Figure 4.9 Tukey Result 1	67
Figure 4.10 ANOVA Result 2	69
Figure 4.11 Tukey Result 2	69
Figure 4.12 ANOVA Result 3	72
Figure 4.13 ANOVA Result 3	73
Figure 4.14 Kruskal-Wallis Test	75
Figure 4.15 ANOVA Result 4	77
Figure 4.16 Tukey Result 4	78

ABSTRACT

This study aims to evaluate the performance of the government service system at Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Samsat) located in Cikarang Utara, Jawa Barat. The method used in this research is simulation. By simulating the system, the current system performance will be known as well as future system improvement proposals. Based on the simulation results, it is known that the service time is still too long. The proposal given to improve the performance of the Samsat office is to add employees in several locations and simplify SOPs to minimize service times so that many people will be served in Samsat Cikarang Utara.

Keywords: government service system, Samsat, simulation, improvement.

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Samsat) is an administration system in Indonesia that formed to quicken the service of public interest and the activity is held in one buiding. There are several services that provided by Samsat; registration and identification of motor vehicle (registrasi dan indentifikasi kendaraan bermotor), tax payment of motor vehicle (pembayaran Pajak Kendaraan Bermotor or PKB), charge duty of motor vehicle name (Bea Balik Nama Kendaraan Bermotor or BBNKB), and payment of obligated donation of traffic accident fee and road transport (pembayaran Sumbangan Wajib Dana Kecelakaan Lalu Lintas dan Angkutan Jalan or SWDKLLAJ).

Samsat is still packed with people who choose to use Samsat's services because it facilitates a variety of activities. Therefore, Samsat is experiencing several problems, and one of the issues is the system's service performance, which is a concern in every Samsat office in Indonesia. One of the Samsat office is located in Cikarang Utara, Jawa Barat. The Samsat office in Cikarang is the research object where data and problems regarding total entity exits are collected..

To be served in Samsat Cikarang, people should pass several processes. The people should pass the vehicle

physical checking first, and then they can continue to check in process. After the check-in process, the people should fill a form and give the form to the information and progressive tax counter. After that, they will continue to the waiting place and wait for their name to be called. Since the office is always crowded, sometimes the people cannot hear that their name is being called. If they do not hear their name, they disorganized the queuing system; the office will not services them. After being at the counter, the people also have to wait for payment, take STNK, and take the plate number process. If there are only a few people in the waiting line, the process can be done quickly. But what becomes a problem is when the waiting place is crowded, the process can be very long. Even though each counter has its own standard time, if the waiting place is crowded, there is a high possibility that the process will exceed the standard time; also, the number of people in charge in each locket is not suitable. Therefore this also become one of the problems.

These issues should be investigated in order to reduce service time and increase overall entities' exits. In reducing the service time, several data are needed to be examined Therefore the observation is conducted. The data is about the service time and total exits in each counter held in Samsat Cikarang. After the data is collected, the analysis, improvements, and suggestions can be made.

In analyzing and improving the problems, the method used is modeling and simulation (M&S). M&S is the use of models,

physical, mathematical, or otherwise logical representation of a system, entity, phenomenon, or process methods for implementing a model (either statically or) over time to develop data as a basis for managerial or technical decision making. M&S supports analysis, experimentation, and training. As such, M&S can facilitate understanding a system's behaviour without actually testing the system in the real world. Besides, simulation can support experimentation that occurs totally in software or in human-in-the-loop environments where simulation represents systems or generates data needed to meet experiment objectives. Furthermore, simulation can be used to train persons using a virtual environment that would otherwise be difficult or expensive to produce.

1.2 Problem Statement

some research questions that will be the focus of this research are:

- a. How many total entities exit in the current system?
- b. How long does the service time in each process, and how many people in charge of each process?
- c. How to improve the service performance at Samsat Cikarang?

1.3 Objectives

The research objectives of the research are:

a. Identify the system output, which is number of customers, served in the current system.

- b. Identify the service time and the capacity in each locket to finish each process.
- c. Analyze and improve the service performance by minimizing the service time and adding capacity to achieve the optimal number of total entities exit.

1.4 Assumption

This research assumes that the data is stationary. It means that the probability distribution of the data is constant every time.

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 System's Definition

Russel (1994) have another opinion about system, A system is defined as a set of two or more elements that meet the following requirement:

- The behaviour of each element has an impact on the overall behaviour
- The interactions between the elements and their effect, on the whole, are intertwined
- Regardless of how subgroups of elements are formed, each has an impact on the overall behaviour, and none is distinct.

According to Burkeman (2014), A system, by contrast, is "something you do regularly that increases your odds of happiness in the long run", regardless of the immediate outcome. From these definitions, it can be concluded that a system is a combination of several elements to achieve specific goals. Each element has an effect on the whole system, and the result is independent.

2.2 Simulation's Definition

According to Shannon (1975), simulation is the method of creating a model of a real system and performing experiments with it for either understanding the system's behaviour or analyzing different methods for its operation (within the constraints imposed by a criterion or collection of criteria).

Simulating the action of a real-world operation or system over time is known as simulation.. Simulation involves the generation of an artificial history of the system, and the observation of that artificial history to draw inferences concerning the operating characteristics of the real system that is represented (Radu, 2010).

From the definition, simulation can be concluded as the design process of a real system to understand the system and evaluate strengthness and weaknesses of the system to improve the system based on several criteria.

2.2.1 Process of Simulation Experimentation

According to Harrell, Ghosh, and Bowden (2012), there are several procedures in doing simulation, following the scientific method:

Formulating a hypothesis

Articulate a hypothesis related to design or operating policies work best.

Setting up an experiment

Set up the experiment in the form of a simulation model to test the hypothesis.

• Testing the hypothesis through experimentation

Conduct several replications of the experiment or simulation.

• Drawing conclusions about the validity of the hypothesis

Analyze the simulation results and draw conclusions about the hypothesis. If the hypothesis is correct, the process can be move ahead to make design or operational changes (assuming time and other implementation constraints are satisfied).

2.2.2 Steps to Apply and Develop Simulation

To apply and develop a simulation, there are several steps required. There are twelves steps in simulation study, as outlined by Banks and Carson (1984), as follows:

Problem formulation

Any research should start with a problem statement. If the statement comes from policymakers or people who are experiencing the issue, the researcher must make sure that the problem is well-defined. If the researcher is developing a problem statement, it is critical that the policymaker agree and support with the formulation.

Setting goals and creating a project schedule

The goal specifies the questions that must be answered. At this stage, you should decide if simulation is the right methodology for the issue and the goals you've set.

If simulation is chosen, the overall project strategy should contain a statement of the alternate systems to be explored as well as a framework for assessing the feasibility of these alternatives..

It should also include the study's plans, such as the number of people who will be involved, the study's expense, and the number of days taken to complete each step of the work, as well as the anticipated outcome at the end of each point.

Conceptualization of model

The development of a system model is likely as much art as science. The ability to abstract the fundamental features of a query and pick and adjust simple inference outcomes enhances the art of modelling. As a result, it's best, to begin with, a basic model and gradually increases.

A one-to-one mapping between the model and the actual system is not needed. The essence of the fundamental structure is all that is required. It is a good idea to include the model user in the conceptualization process. Involving the model user would improve the consistency of the final model while also increasing the model user's trust in its implementation.

Data collection

The design of the model and the gathering of the necessary input data are constantly intertwined. The requisite data elements can change as the model's complexity increases. Furthermore, since data collection consumes such a significant portion of the overall time taken for simulation, it is important to begin it as soon as possible, typically concurrently with the early stages of model development.

The type of data to be gathered is heavily influenced by the quantitative analysis. For example, in a bank analysis, if the goal is to learn about the length of waiting lines as the number of tellers changes, the types of data required are inter-arrival time distributions, teller service time distributions, and historical waiting line length distributions under varying conditions. The simulation model will be validated using this last form of data.

Model translation

Since most real-world applications produce models that involve a lot of data storage and computation, the model must be saved in a computer-readable format. We use the term "program" even though in many cases the desired outcome can be achieved with little to no real coding. The modeller must determine if the experiment should be programmed in simulation language or not.

Verification

The programming software created for the simulation model is subject to verification. Is the machine software working as it should? It's difficult, if not impossible, to translate a complex model effectively in its entirety without a lot of debugging; verification is complete when the input parameters and logical form of the model are accurately expressed in the computer. The majority of the time, common sense is used to complete this step.

Validation

Validation is typically accomplished by model calibration, which is an iterative method of matching the model to real device behaviour and using the differences between the two and the knowledge obtained to refine the model. This procedure is repeated until the precision of the model is deemed satisfactory.

Experimental design

It's necessary to find out which options need to be simulated. Choosing which alternatives to simulate is often based on the results of previously performed and analyzed runs. Decisions on the duration of the initialization time, the length of simulation runs, and the repetition of each run must be taken for each configuration that is simulated.

• Production runs and analysis

Production runs and their subsequent review are used to approximate efficiency metrics for the simulated system designs.

More runs

The analyst decides whether additional runs are required based on the review of completed runs and what design such additional tests should pursue.

Documentation and reporting

Program and progress documentation are the two forms of documentation. To learn how the software works, you will need to read the program's documentation. It is a lot quicker to change the software. Users of the model may alter parameters to determine the relationship between input parameters and performance output measures or to determine the relationship between input parameters and performance output measures.

In a final report, the results of all the analyses should be presented accurately and concisely. The final formulation, the alternative systems that were discussed, the criteria against which the solutions were compared, the outcomes of the tests, and the proposed approach to the problem would all be available to model consumers.

Implementation

The performance of the implementation process is entirely dependent on the execution of the preceding 11 phases. It also depends on how engaged the observer has been with the ultimate model customer in the simulation process.

The risk of a vigorous implementation is increased if the model consumer has been fully engaged in the model development process and knows its essence and performance.

2.3 Model's Definition

According to Ford (2009), models are available in a variety of forms, weights, and designs. It's important to remember that a model isn't the real world; rather, it's a human concept that can help us understand real-world processes better. Both models, in general, include information input, an information processor, and an estimated outcome output.

All models can be grouped into three types, based on James (2010):

Graphic models

Conceptual sketches, graphs, maps, and diagrams are popular graphic templates. The designer's designs for specific systems and items are captured in conceptual drawings. It depicts a broad overview of the components and their connections. Designers may use graphs to arrange and plot results. Graphs display numerical data that can be used to create items and evaluate test outcomes.

The relationship between individuals, acts, or operations is depicted in charts. It aids in the selection and sequencing of activities required to complete a project. For different activities, different charts are used. Diagrams depict how elements in a system interact.

Mathematical models

Relationships are depicted in mathematical models using formulas. The formula shows the relationship between voltage, amperage, and resistance in an electrical circuit is E = IR, where E = electromotive force measured in volts, I = electrical current in amperes, and R = electrical resistance measured in ohms.

Similarly, the formula for work shows the relationship between the force used to move an object and the distance it is lifted: Work = Force x Distance.

So far, the examples have been for basic mathematical models. Individuals use more sophisticated equations containing thousands of calculations to forecast the outcomes of complex interactions.

Physical models

Three-dimensional representations of reality are called physical structures. Mock-ups and prototypes are two kinds of physical

models. The first form of a physical model is used to demonstrate the appearance of a product or structure. An appearance model, also known as a Conceptual, Physical, and Mathematical Models mock-up, is a model type. It is used to assess a technology artifact's styling, symmetry, colour, or other aesthetic features. Mock-ups are usually made from materials that are simple to deal with.

A prototype is the second category of a physical model. A functional model of a machine, assembly or product is referred to as a prototype. Prototypes are created to measure the item's function, repair, and protection. It is usually made of the same stuff as the finished product. It will be impossible to construct a full-scale skyscraper prototype. To validate and analyze the solutions, prototypes and other templates should be used.

2.4 ProModel Software

The program itself is used to perform the software process simulation modelling. ProModel is one of the most widely deployed programs. ProModel is a discrete-event modelling technology for planning, designing, and improving new and current production, logistics, and other operating structures. It enables users to reflect real-world processes reliably, including their intrinsic uncertainty and interdependencies, to do predictive research on future changes. Improve the system's efficiency by focusing on the main performance metrics (ProModel, 2017).

2.4.1 ProModel Methodology

Visualize

Build an immersive, animated simulated model of the business environment using CAD files, process or value stream maps, or Process Simulator models. Observe and comprehend existing practices and systems in action.

Analyze

Using the blueprint, brainstorm future updates and create scenarios to validate enhancements that can help the company meet its goals. Run scenarios separately and analyze responses in the Output Viewer, which was developed using the new Microsoft® WPF technology.

Optimize

With predictive scenario comparisons, you can quickly test the effect of improvements on existing and future activities. Determine optimum business performance with a high likelihood of achieving the objectives.

2.4.2 ProModel Advantages

ProModel enables decision-makers to test novel solutions for process and method design or development before devoting the time and money required to construct or modify the existing system.

ProModel is concerned with topics such as:

- Resource Utilization
- System Capacity
- Process Improvement
- Throughput and Bottleneck Analysis

- Supply Chain and Logistics
- Customer Service Levels

Leaders may experiment with various operational strategies and architectures to achieve optimum efficiency for their companies by designing the important elements of a production, storage, or operation structure.

2.4.3 ProModel Elements

According to Benson (1992), ProModel's simulation elements serve as building blocks for describing the physical and conceptual components of the structure under consideration. Parts, machines, and tools are all physical elements of the system that can be referenced graphically or by name. Modeling feature names can be any word of up to 80 alphanumeric characters.

Locations

Routing locations are fixed locations in the system where components or persons are routed for processing, storage, or merely to make a routing decision. Routing sites may either be single-unit or multi-unit locations.

Routing sites may have more than one capability. They may experience intermittent downtimes due to clock time (e.g. shift changes), consumption time, usage duration, content adjustment, or some other user-defined condition. Input and output rules may be allocated to routing sites. In a multi-capacity location, input rules are used to determine the entity to process next, while output rules are used to rate entities.

Entities

The objects being stored in the system are referred to like parts or individuals. This includes raw materials, component parts, components, loads, work-in-progress, and finished goods, among other things.

Entities of the same or different types may be combined, split into two or three additional entities, or converted into new entity types.

Attributes should be allocated to entities that can be used to make judgments or collect advanced data. An entity's graphic may be modified as a result of an action to depict a physical transition during animation.

Path Networks

Path networks are optional, and they specify the paths that actors and services will take as they move across the system. Path networks are made up of nodes linked by path segments that are graphically described with a few mouse clicks.

There might be several path networks established, with one or more resources and/or organizations sharing the same network. Movement along a route network can be measured in terms of distance and speed, or it can be measured in terms of time. Path distances are calculated automatically based on the userdefined layout size. Passing, non-passing, and crane path networks are the three forms of path networks.

When entities and resources are able to surpass one another, a moving network is used. Non-passing networks are made up of single-file tracks or guide routes, such as those used by AGVs, where vehicles can't pass. Crane networks describe the operational envelope and interface points for bridge cranes.

Resources

A resource may be an individual, a tool, a car, or some other item that can be used to accomplish a goal:

1. Transport material between routing locations.

2. Perform an operation on the material at a location

3. Perform maintenance on a location or other resource that is down.

Static resources may be delegated to a route network for dynamic travel. Cranes are a unique category of complex resource. Built-in decision rules can be used to allocate capital and prioritize pick-up and distribution of parts. It is also possible to specify resource motion characteristics such as empty and full speeds, acceleration and deceleration, as well as pickup and distribution times.

Processing

This feature specifies the order in which individuals are processed and how they flow between routing locations. The

Processing factor may be used to characterize operating or service times at locations, resource needs, processing logic, input/output relationships, routing constraints, and move times or requirements.

Constants, distributions, functions, attributes, subroutines, and other variables, as well as an expression containing some combination of these, can be used to describe operation times. IF-THEN-ELSE statements, loops, nesting expression blocks, and subroutine calls are all examples of operation logic. The use of Boolean expressions in resource-dependent statements like GET, USE, and JOINTLY GET, as well as built-in operation statements like ACCUM, JOIN, and GROUP, significantly simplifies otherwise complex logic in defining processing requirements. Built-in and user-defined routing rules give you the freedom to model any form of routing situation.

Arrivals

This factor can be used to model deterministic, conditional, or stochastic arrivals. The Arrivals feature in ProModel will read external files such as output schedules or arrival data. Interarrival times and quantities may be described using built-in or user-defined distributions or data provided in a spreadsheet.

Shifts

The ability to identify custom work and split schedules via ProModel's Shifts module is a valuable feature. Work and break schedules are graphically depicted by the time of day and weekday. After that, resources or locations are allocated to a moving schedule. You may also describe the change and break logic that governs how locations and resources behave when they go offline and what happens when they come back online.

2.5 Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Samsat) Kabupaten Bekasi

Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Samsat) Kabupaten Bekasi is located in Cikarang, specifically in Jl. Raya Industri Pasir Gombong No.14, Pasirgombong, Cikarang Utara, Bekasi, Jawa Barat. Samsat Kabupaten Bekasi opens from 08:00 AM – 02:00 PM, from Monday until Saturday.

Samsat Kabupaten Bekasi provides several services for those who need (Mengenal Giat dan Fungsi Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap (Samsat), 2016), such as:

- Registration and identification of motor vehicle (registrasi dan indentifikasi kendaraan bermotor)
- Tax payment of motor vehicle (pembayaran Pajak Kendaraan Bermotor or PKB)
- Charge duty of motor vehicle name (Bea Balik Nama Kendaraan Bermotor or BBNKB)
- Payment of obligated donation of traffic accident fee and road transport (pembayaran Sumbangan Wajib Dana Kecelakaan Lalu Lintas dan Angkutan Jalan or SWDKLLAJ)

Because Samsat Kabupaten Bekasi provides several services, the queuing system in Samsat Kabupaten Bekasi is having several troubles. To improve the queuing system in Samsat Kabupaten Bekasi, software process simulation modelling is conducted. The software that is used is ProModel.

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology

This section would go through the measures involved in undertaking a comprehensive research project. The process, measures, and improvements in solving the problem will all be explicitly outlined. Research methodology is depicted in Fig. 3.1.

Figure 3.1 Research Methodology



The steps in conducting the research are:

1. Introduction

The first step in doing research is to recognize questions that will be investigated further. Precise observation is needed to locate the issues. As a result, direct observation takes place at the Samsat Cikarang office, Kab. Bekasi.

The problems that were identified from Samsat Cikarang office is that the Samsat Cikarang is too crowded. Because the office is always too crowded, the queuing is also almost always full. Since the queuing capacity is full, the customers' waiting time also tends to be very long. The study goals will be found after the objectives have been established. The tools and steps for performing the study should also be decided in order to achieve the objectives.

2. Literature Review

In order to perform research, a variety of knowledge from various sources is required to validate the research. The literature is mostly concerned with the system's fundamentals and the analysis methodology, which is commonly referred to as a literature review.

3. Research Methodology

There are several steps to doing analysis. The stages of doing research are outlined systematically and explicitly in research methodology. From the beginning to the end of the study, the measures will be clarified.

4. Data Collection and Analysis

First and foremost, entities, locations, and triggering events must be identified in order to capture data. Observation may be used to find data on individuals, locations, and initiating events.

The time study data is required after the entities, locations, and triggering events have been identified. The information was gathered from observations made in Samsat Cikarang. The data is gathered in a quantitative manner using a stop watch. The information pertains to the customer's wait time in the queueing system as well as the service time of and worker in each locket.

Once the data has been gathered, use ProModel tools to interpret it to determine if the data is independent or not and the distribution that best matches the data. There are several tests that the data must satisfy to be appropriate for analysis. Since ProModel program has the ability to validate records, it is used. The scatter map, autocorrelation plot, and run test are used to determine if the data is independent, and the log-normal, exponential, and uniform tests are used to determine what kind of distribution best fits the data.

The data documentation is needed after the data has passed all of the tests. The entity flow diagram, processing description and order, move time, work schedule, and assumption list of the whole process in Samsat Cikarang were all included in the data documentation.

Once you have completed the data documentation, run the simulation using ProModel tools. From the beginning to the end, the model is built on observation. Then, with the current model, perform verification and validation.

After the current model is developed, the flaws in the Samsat Cikarang mechanism will be revealed. As a result, the new iteration, which was created using ProModel, has been changed based on the current model's weaknesses.

Compare the two versions as there is an existing and proposed one. The comparison aims to see if the proposed model would significantly impact reducing waiting times in Samsat Cikarang's queuing system.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

After collecting data and analyzing it, conclude the research's findings. The conclusion is reached in several chapters on the flaws in the existing system that trigger long queuing times, and the recommendation is about how to change the current system and make it a better system.

3.2 Research Framework

The research framework depicts the trajectory of the study of waiting time in Samsat Cikarang's queuing system, from finding the problem to implementing improvements to reduce queuing time. The research framework is depicted in Fig. 3.2.



Figure 3.2 Research Framework
CHAPTER IV DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Identification of Entities

Entities are the objects that are processed in a system that represent the system's input and output. People are the Samsat Cikarang system's entities.

There are several entities there, such as:

- a. People who doing Re –Registration Annual People who doing Re-Registration annual is the entity who like to extend their STNK. This entity will start from the check in process until taking their STNK. For this entity, no need for the physical vehicle checking process.
- b. People who are doing Re-Registration 5 Years People who are doing Re-Registration 5 years is the entity who like to extend their STNK after five years. This entity will start from the physical vehicle checking until taking STNK and plat.
- c. People who are doing Registration of Vehicle Changing Information People who register for vehicle changing information are the entity that would like to change their vehicle changing information, such as name of owner, address, etc. This entity will start from the physical vehicle checking until taking their plat.

- d. People who are doing Registration from Outside Region People who are doing registration from the outside region is the entity which would like to extend their STNK, but from others region outside Cikarang. This entity will start from the physical vehicle checking until taking their STNK.
- e. People who are doing New Vehicle Registration People who are doing new vehicle registration is the entity that would like to regsister their new vehicle. This entity will start from the physical vehicle checking until taking their plat

4.2 Identification of Locations

Locations are the place that entities visit for processing. In the Samsat Cikarang, there are several locations, such as:

a. Vehicle Physical Checking

Several entities, not all entities, have just visited physical vehicle checking. So, this location considered optional based on a process. There are seven servicemen there who are ready to serve the customers. The input and output are based on turn rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the following location.

b. Check-in Desk

Check-in is a place for the taking form. This location has one man who will give the form to the customers. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location. The check-in location can be seen in Fig. 4.1.

Figure 4.1 Check In Location



c. Fill Form Place

Fill form place is the customers will visit the next location. There are 20 desks available to be used by the customers. The input and output is based on turn rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location. The Fill Form Location can be seen in Fig. 4.2.



Figure 4.2 Fill Form Location

- d. Information and Progressive Tax
 - Information and Progressive tax is the place for the customer to check the completeness of documents, checking the Progressive tax, and give the documents. There are two men to serve the customers. The input and output is based on turn rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location. The Information and Progressive Tax Location can be seen in Fig. 4.3.



Figure 4.3 Information and Progressive Tax Location

e. Waiting Place for Registration

After the customers give the documents to information desk, now they will waiting in the waiting place. They will wait until the operators in registration give the invoice payment to them. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location. The Waiting Place for Registration Location can be seen in Fig. 4.4.



Figure 4.4 Waiting Place for Registration Location

f. Re – Registration Annual Locket

Re-registration annual locket is the locket for processing the documents of customers who like to re-registration annually. The location will be served by one man. The input and output is based on first in first out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the following location.

g. Re-Registration 5 Years Locket

Re-registration 5 years locket is the locket for processing the documents of customers who like to re-registration 5 years. The location will be served by one man. The input and output is based on first in first out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location.

 Registration of Vehicle Changing Information Locket
 Registration of vehicle changing information locket is the locket for processing the documents of customers who like to registration of vehicle changing information. The location will be served by one man. The input and output is based on first in first out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location.

i. Registration from Outside Region Locket

Registration of outside region locket is the locket for proccesing the documents of customers who like to registration of outside region. The location will be served by one man. The input and output is based on first in first out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location.

j. New Vehicle Registration Locket

The new vehicle registration locket is the locket for processing the documents of customers who like to new vehicle registration. One man will serve the location. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location.

k. Waiting Place for Payment

After the customers receive the invoice payment, now they will wait in the waiting place for payment. They will wait until the operators in payment, call their name. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location.

I. Payment Counter

Payment counter is the locket for doing the payment. Two operators will serve the location. The input and output is based on by turn rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location. The Payment Counter Location can be seen in Fig. 4.5.



Figure 4.5 Payment Counter Location

m. Waiting Place for Taking STNK

After the customers make the payment, now they will be waiting in the waiting place for taking STNK. They will wait until the operators in Taking STNK counter call their name. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the following location.

n. STNK Counter

The payment counter is the locket for taking STNK. Two operators will serve the location. The input and output is

based on by turn rules. The entities will wait until it turn to the next location. The STNK Counter Location can be seen in Fig. 4.6.



Figure 4.6 STNK Counter Location

o. Waiting Place for Taking Plat

Not all entities pass this locations, only several entities. After the customers taking STNK, now they will waiting in the waiting place for taking plat. They will wait until the operators in Taking Plat counter, call their name. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location.

p. Plat Counter

Plat counter is the locket for taking vehicle plat. One operator will serve the location. The input and output is based on first-in, first-out (FIFO) rules. The entities will wait until they turn to the next location.

4.3. Identification Triggering Events

It is important to identify the causes that trigger the activities. There are several causes of the triggering events, such as:

- Do not enough capacity to serve the customers
- The layout of the locations

The current system will be model by using ProModel software. Using simulation, we can improve and make the system run better and prevent the triggering events. It will be analyzed in this report by using ProModel.

4.4 Time Study

The data collection is collected by using the time study method. The observers take the data randomly, from Monday and Friday, in the morning and afternoon. The result of the time study is showed as below. All of the data are in minutes. The time study results are shown in Table 4.1.

No	Interarrival	Phsyical Checking	Fill Form	Information /Progressiv e Checking Test	Re- registration Process	Registration of Vehicle Changing Information	Registration from Outside Region Locket	New Vehicle Registration	Payment	Taking STNK	Taking Plat
1	-	0.08	3.05	0.13	20	202.675	195.305	250.194	0.13	0.38	3.5
2	0.33	0.24	3.2	0.15	15.12	191.117	286.1	274.62	0.65	0.08	6.67
3	0.53	0.10	2.02	0.10	14.2	263.711	278.225	301.97	0.78	0.05	5.12
4	0.13	0.06	3.67	0.16	11.3	220.11	150.098	312.67	0.50	0.20	7.3
5	0.17	0.04	3.56	0.07	31.8	250	165.401	203.39	1.00	0.37	10.9
6	0.27	0.27	3.2	0.10	9.41	243.87	177.84	288.64	0.65	0.60	5.6
7	0.75	0.10	1.75	0.17	19.37	162.939	244.45	311.47	0.68	0.08	5
8	0.10	0.13	2.15	0.09	13.09	231.8	196.273	259.343	0.60	0.07	10
9	0.17	0.06	3.21	0.14	12	242.335	208.878	390.79	0.53	0.10	5.03
10	0.10	0.26	4	0.08	26.4	132.57	170.28	252.81	0.78	0.12	12
11	0.38	0.31	4.1	0.05	37.63	210	254.65	267.62	0.65	0.05	4.3
12	0.33	0.05	4.26	0.11	15	179.38	274.29	192.51	0.32	0.10	11
13	0.90	0.14	4.52	0.12	13.36	215.525	223.54	307.86	0.20	0.10	18.93
14	0.07	0.03	3.1	0.07	10.07	284.317	171.029	251.39	0.22	0.15	14.08
15	0.87	0.18	3.2	0.09	28.97	121.154	204.18	257.454	0.78	0.08	14.96
16	0.12	0.07	3.4	0.15	14.3	204.88	217.36	334.607	1.38	0.05	10

Table 4.1 Time Study Result

17	0.58	0.83	2.9	0.21	16.32	151.292	153.46	280.36	1.08	0.12	6.4
18	0.35	0.46	4.3	0.05	35.8	136.99	224.47	225.19	0.45	0.18	6.1
19	0.13	0.06	2.02	0.26	9.67	296.11	173.95	195.64	0.38	0.32	7.29
20	0.1	0.07	2.05	0.12	9.36	288.91	202.27	257.67	0.32	0.15	5
21	0.07	0.09	3.03	0.06	25.3	165.43	292.86	277.292	0.42	0.18	8.04
22	0.20	0.09	4.2	0.35	13.9	229.08	256.36	348.54	1.80	0.07	9.93
23	0.07	0.07	3.2	0.05	17.2	134.117	184.63	274.21	0.93	0.13	15
24	0.10	0.28	3.8	0.17	32.7	237.62	191.825	322.38	0.68	0.18	6.68
25	0.12	0.07	2.3	0.12	15.39	178.96	149.037	287.19	0.97	0.13	9.34
26	0.15	0.29	2.3	0.18	14.02	270.7	269.74	355.76	0.62	0.15	18
27	0.05	0.09	4.3	0.38	24.3	202.249	185.72	215.18	0.52	0.27	9.06
28	0.07	0.19	1.2	0.08	33.84	237.78	190.09	222.84	0.43	0.38	8.38
29	0.38	0.08	1.8	0.06	14.2	222.14	241.06	309.705	0.72	0.07	15.08
30	0.43	0.12	2.6	0.16	27.72	250.331	188.155	283.53	0.68	0.12	6.2
31	0.53	0.48	3.2	0.12	15.46	156.92	217.06	350.833	1.92	0.10	5.3
32	0.08	0.04	1.1	0.23	27.78	116.665	241.8	182.61	0.17	0.87	14.07
33	0.07	0.26	5.13	0.03	30.05	278.571	223.197	285.91	0.53	0.07	16.33
34	0.10	0.17	2.02	0.13	23.7	221.115	290.68	353.511	1.15	0.13	18
35	0.20	0.27	4.46	0.08	10.07	177.73	295.006	250.507	1.33	0.30	17
36	0.50	0.03	2.2	0.17	15.6	198.336	248.557	305.506	1.18	0.35	8

4.5 Independence and Distribution Test

To determine their suitability for use in the simulation model, the data should be evaluated. The independence test is a series of sample tests that are independent of one another and random. A measure for independence may be used to determine whether or not the data is independent. Scatter plots, autocorrelation plots, and run tests are three types of tests. StatFit can be used in ProModel software to validate the results. In a time study, the independence tests must be performed on each location or data. All of the data used in this analysis are found to be independent of one another.

Determine the distribution for each data after testing the independence measure. In StatFit, you can choose from various distributions, including log-normal, regular, exponential, and uniform. The random variable logarithm is usually distributed in a lognormal continuous probability distribution. The normal distribution is a symmetrical continuous probability distribution in which the mean and median are identical. Exponential likelihood describes the occurrences of events over a period of time. A uniform distribution is a probability under which all values have the same chance of occurring. Table 4.2 summarizes the distribution of the results.

4.6 Data Documentation

4.6.1 Objective

This study aims to determine the queue time and distinguish the difference between the current system and the proposed system and find a solution to a better system by minimizing the queue time.

4.6.2 Entity Flow Diagram

Below are shown the entity flow diagram from the beginning until the end. This diagram showed several entities, such as people who re-registration (symbol 1), registration of vehicle changing information (symbol 2), registration from the outside region (symbol 3), registration from the outside region (symbol 4), and new vehicle registration (symbol 5).

For entity 1, directly to check-in location, but for entities 2,3,4, and 5, should go to physical vehicle checking first and then go to check-in process. All of the entities will go through fill form place, information and progressive tax, and go to queuing place. Here, the entities' documents will be processed based on objectives, whether in re-registration, vehicle changing information, ex region, and new vehicle process.

After already processed, the entities will receive the payment invoice and queuing a place for payment. The operators in the payment counter will call the entity for payment. After the payment process, the entity will go to queuing place for taking STNK. The operators in taking STNK counter will call the entity for taking STNK. For 1st entity will stop until this process. But, for entities, 2-5th will continue to queue for taking plat. If making plat process already, so the entities will take the plat and finished. The entity flow diagram can be seen in Fig. 4.7.



Figure 4.7 Entity Flow Diagram

4.6.3 Processing Description and Sequence

A processing summary is a set of instructions that explains how data is passed through the system. The process description for Samsat Cikarang is shown below. Table 4.2 below are the processing description for entity 1, re-registration annually.

Fill form	L(3.18,	None	Information	None	None	None
	0.67)min		and Progresif			
			Tax Checking			
Information	L(0.13,	Officers	Waiting Place	None	None	Officers
and	0.01)min					
Progresif						
Тах						
Checking						
Waiting	None	None	Registration	None	None	None
Place			Counter			
Registration	L(19.57,	Officer	Waiting Place	None	None	None
Counter	8.31)min					
Waiting	None	None	Payment	None	None	None
Place						
Payment	L(0.73,	Officers	Waiting Place	None	None	None
	0.17)min					
Waiting	None	None	Taking STNK	None	None	None
Place						
Taking	L(0.19,	Officers	Exit	None	None	None
STNK	0.03)min					

Table 4.2	Processing	Description 1	1
-----------	------------	----------------------	---

After taking STNK, the people will exit from the system and total the number of people who are doing annual re-registration are recorded.

Below are processing description for entity 2, 3, 4, and 5, such as re-registration five years, vehicle changing information, ex region, and new vehicle registration.

Location	Activity	Activity	Next	Move	Move	Move
	Time	Resource	Location	Trigger	Time	Resource
Vehicle	L(0.17,	Operators	Check In	None	0.5	None
Physical	0.03)min	l			min	l
Checking		l				l
Check In		Officer	Fill form	None	None	None
Fill form	L(3.18,	None	Information	None	None	None
	0.67)min	l	and			l
		l	Progresif			l
		l	Тах			l
	İ	I	Checking			l
Information	L(0.13,	Officers	Waiting	None	None	Officers
and	0.01)min	l	Place			l
Progresif		l				l
Tax		l				l
Checking						
Waiting	None	None	Registration	None	None	None
Place			Counter			l
Registration:		Officer	Waiting	None	None	None
For entity 2	L(19.57,	l	Place			l
	8.31)min	l				l
For entity 3	N(209,	l				
	49)min	l				l
For entity 4	L(212.72,	1				l
	43.82)min					
For entity 5	L(279,	1				l
	49.6)	I				l
Waiting Place	None	None	Payment	None	None	None
Payment	L(0.73,	Officers	Waiting	None	None	None
	0.17)min		Place			
Waiting Place	None	None	Taking	None	None	None
			STNK			
Taking STNK	L(0.19,	Officers	Waiting	None	0.5	None
	0.03)min		Place		min	

 Table 4.3 Processing Description 2

Waiting Place	None	None	Taking Plat	None	None	None
Taking Plat	L(9.82, 4.53)min	None	Exit	None	None	None

After taking plat, the people will exit from the system. The number of people who are doing annual re-registration are recorded.

After process description/on defined, here is processing sequence as shown below.

Entity	Station	Operating Time in
		Minutes (min, mode,
		max)
Re-registration	Check In	
annualy (1)	Fill Form	1.75, 3.2, 4.52
	Information and Progressif	0.03, 0.17, 0.38
	Тах	
	Waiting Place	
	Registration Counter	9.36, 14.20, 37.63
	Waiting Place	
Re-registration 5	Payment	0.13, 0.65, 1.92
years	Waiting Place	
	Taking STNK	0.05, 0.07, 0.87
Re-registration 5	Vehicle Physical Checking	0.03, 0.03, 0.83
years	Check In	
	Fill Form	1.75, 3.2, 4.52
	Information and Progressif	0.03, 0.17, 0.38
	Тах	
	Waiting Place	
	Registration Counter	9.36, 14.20, 37.63
	Waiting Place	

 Table 4.4 Processing Sequence

	Payment	0.13, 0.65, 1.92
	Waiting Place	
	Taking STNK	0.05, 0.07, 0.87
	Waiting Place	
	Taking Plat	3.5, 5, 18.93
Registration	Vehicle Physical Checking	0.03, 0.03, 0.83
Vehicle Changing	Check In	
Information	Fill Form	1.75, 3.2, 4.52
	Information and Progressif	0.03, 0.17, 0.38
	Tax	
	Waiting Place	
	Registration Counter	116.67, - , 296.11
	Waiting Place	
	Payment	0.13, 0.65, 1.92
	Waiting Place	
	Taking STNK	0.05, 0.07, 0.87
Registration	Waiting Place	
Vehicle Changing	Taking Plat	3.5, 5, 18.93
Information		
Registration	Vehicle Physical Checking	0.03, 0.03, 0.83
Outside Region	Check In	
	Fill Form	1.75, 3.2, 4.52
	Information and Progressif	0.03, 0.17, 0.38
	Тах	
	Waiting Place	
	Registration Counter	149.04, -, 295.01
	Waiting Place	
	Payment	0.13, 0.65, 1.92
	Waiting Place	
	Taking STNK	0.05, 0.07, 0.87
	Waiting Place	
	Taking Plat	3.5, 5, 18.93
New Vehicle	Vehicle Physical Checking	0.03, 0.03, 0.83
Registration	Check In	
	Fill Form	1.75, 3.2, 4.52
1		

	Information and Progressif	0.03, 0.17, 0.38
	Тах	
	Waiting Place	
	Registration Counter	182.61, -, 390.79
	Waiting Place	
	Payment	0.13, 0.65, 1.92
	Waiting Place	
New Vehicle	Taking STNK	0.05, 0.07, 0.87
Registration	Waiting Place	
	Taking Plat	3.5, 5, 18.93

4.6.4 Arrivals

By interviewing the officers in Samsat Cikarang, the probability of inter-arriving people in the registration process are taken and shown in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5 Arrivals

Entity	Probability
Re-registration Annual	0.2
Re-registration After 5 Years	0.15
Vehicle Changing Information	0.15
Eks Outside Region	0.1
New Vehicle Registration	0.4

4.6.5 Move Time

The amount of time it takes to move one item from one location to another is known as move time. Since the actual move time is so limited in this scheme, not all movement is considered to move time. As a result, the system is unaffected. The following are the move times that were deemed necessary to the system.

Table 4.6 Moving Times

From	То	Time (minutes)
Vehicle Checking	Check In	0.5
Taking STNK	Queuing for Taking Plat	0.5

4.6.6 Work Schedule

All of locations are scheduled to operate eight (8) hours a day.

4.6.7 Assumption List

There are several assumptions from this simulation system, such as :

- No downtimes in each locations
- The arrival time for all entities assumed the same
- All officers and operators are available at that time to serve the people
- Moving time which not significantly affect to the system is ignored.

4.7 Current Model

In this part, there will be a translation of a system's conceptual model into a simulation model. The conceptual model is the result of the data-gathering effort and is a formulation in one's mind of how a particular system operates. Simulation is the representation, imitation of a real system, in other words, through a model, people would like to represent the model, in order to analyze it, get the results and give some improvements. The results is a representation of an actual system, if the model was made in proper ways, so the results will not be useful and does not represent an actual system that will lead to wrong decisions. The model itself should be modelled close to real system understudy to get accurate results. Through ProModel, it is possible to create a model that represents close to the actual system. It is necessary to create a model that represents the actual system by passing several logical procedures to achieve the desired goals and objectives.

The simulation model was built by collecting the actual data during observation in SamSat Cikarang. There are fifteen locations in the system, such as physical vehicle checking, check-in, fill form place, information and progresif tax, waitingarea for registration, re-registration locket, vehicle changing information locket, ex region locket, new vehicle locket, waitingarea for payment, payment locket, waiting area for taking STNK, taking STNK locket, waiting place for taking plat, and taking plat locket. The entity (people) is divided into five specific entities: annual re-registration, five-year re-registration, vehicle changing information registration, ex region, and new vehicle registration. It is divided into several entities since each process has its own registration time and different from each others.

The people will enter from the incoming place which the interarrival time follow log-normal distribution with mean, 0.27 mins and standard deviation, 0.04 mins. And then, this entitiy will be divided into several entities with probability such as, people doing re-registration annualy (0.2), people doing re-registration 5 years (0.15), people doing vehicle changing information registration (0.15), people doing ex region registration (0.1), and

people who doing new vehicle registration (0.4). The total probability is 1. All of the probability are based on the expert who handle the registration process. And then, for people doing reregistration annually, they continue to take form at check-in desk by FIFO rule. Then, continue to fill form place. There are 21 available place for one entity to fill the form and under by turn rule. After filling form, the entity will go to information and progressive tax checking. And then, continue to waiting area for registration, re-registration locket until taking STNK locket and exist. The detailed process will shown explained in the process and routing in ProModel. Especially, for entity re-registration 5 years, vehicle changing information registration, eks region, and new vehicle registration, in the beginning, they should pass the physical vehicle checking first, and then continue to check-in place. Each entity will continue to fill form place, information and progressive tax checking, waiting for registration, registration locket, until taking plat, and finished. The detailed process will shown explained in the process and routing in ProModel.

The simulation was run for 8 hours/day with a number of replications are 10. The total people who are doing re-registration annually are 46. The total exits of people doing re-registration five years annually are 25. The total exits of people doing vehicle changing information registration are four The total exits of people doing outside region registration are three. And the total exits of people doing new vehicle registration are six.

The layout from ProModel can be seen in the Appendix 1 : Current Model. The location, entities, processing, and arrival of the current system ProModel can be seen in Table 4.7.

Locations * ***********************************						
lame	Cap	Units	Stats Ru		Rules	Cost
Jehicle Physical Checking	7	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
Check In Desk	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill_Form_Table	3	7	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First
ill Form Table.1	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
ill Form Table.2	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill Form Table.3	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill Form Table.4	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill Form Table.5	3	ī	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill Form Table.6	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
ill Form Table.7	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
nformation and Progresif Tax	2	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	. First
laiting_Place_Registration	Inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
Registration_Locket	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
lehicle_Changing_Information_L	2	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
Eks_Region_Locket	2	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
lew_Vehicle_Locket	5	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
laiting_Place_for_Payment	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
ayment_Locket	1	2	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First
Payment_Locket.1	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
Payment_Locket.2	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	,
laiting_Place_for_Taking_STNK	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest.	
aking_STNK_Locket	1	2	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First
aking_STNK_Locket.1	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
aking_STNK_Locket.2	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
laiting_Place_for_Taking_Plat	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	
aking_Plat_Locket	1	1	Timc	Scrics	Oldest,	
incoming_Place	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	

Table 4.7 Locations

Table 4.8 Entities

**************************************	Entities	********	***************************************
Name	Speed (fpm)	Stats	Cost
People_who_Doing_Reregistratio People_who_Doing_Reregistratio People_who_Doing_Registration_ People_who_Doing_Registration_ People_who_Doing_New_Vehicle_R People	150 150 150 150 150 150 150	Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series	

Table 4.9 Processing

 descale sets on the	 	and the second second

			Prakes.					Bade 1 Lings	
fe titu	facet los	Dire	eties	313	Output		Buildnet les		Ba.3+
feașia	Becimerer, Flack			40	Paragin also Bairo Jaire Paragin also Bairo Bare Paragin also Bairo Bare Paragin also Bairo Bare Paragin also Bairo Bare	plittest is plittest is it parties threat ter balance 5	Cherk, in Jusk Fabrick, Dept. and Sabarks, Dept. rail Frinzik, Dept. rail Trainek, Dept. rail	Sector .	
Resplaced for the second states and Resplaced in the transferring states to	Fall_Fare_Ishis	144.0	142.34. 0.470	ue ²	Pergito une Incirg Reve	gintest 76	PLLS_FORD_TABLE	1.241.54	11100 1
Ferry Lot, alter, De Lear, Perryada et est de	Information_avel_7	represent, Iax and	LAN. 17. M.M.18	10	Paralla also Dalare Rave	sistent in	Basties Linte Br	aistesties	DOT 1
fengin une je ing demediatent te Negin une je ing demediatent te	fafes introtion, Ma	La Tranction Line	1 1415.5P. 1.10		Peripte Ave Betrie Baye	Rintest 10	Rellegilitestics.Li	ic host	BIRT S
Feegle and De Lee Horselstantis Feegle and De Lee Percentations is	Daiting Place for Faurent Linghts	August 185	1 148.13. 8.1718	1.0	Finipin, and John State	format in	Pageout Jaste	Children	Print. C.
treefe une fe ben ferenderens fa	enter der für	Tables Ditte	- Delivery - Marine	the late	Pergin abe Beirg Prov. Pergin abe Botten fare	platevit in	Taking (191) Look	n Tulking "UDHS	1108.1
Benelis wie Brieg Persylators is	Weble in Plandmil	Dashka Mt	Lin	1	Propin als Dairy Bare	göströf is	FREE		\$18\$1 S
females and the form the rest is	Check in heth	187	145.18. BATS	1	Party in the Debra Jars	sinnet is	Full fare Lable		22.
fengle also in the Perspirated at in	Between the and 7	moreulf fax and	148.11. 8.813	tie t	Forgin_alse Bolies.Swys	alstant in	ferenation_and.1	neuroil.f=	1110-1
Fenglis and Salay Recognition in Fenglis and Salay Recognition in the	lasting Flam. But	interchin (ad	1 1411 12 1 10		Beigin abn feitre Bren	farmar (;	regeneration of	a fast	1811
fernal m. ster. In tea. Hermanist met in	gest ins Place day			1	Farglin also Jickey Jare Furglin also Julity Sare	eletsat in	Saliting Flans, In-	(Assisted)	FIRST S
ferny in seni, de long germin fit ten tije		Taxtes aller	the second second	1	Forgila_she_listry_form Proging instanting form	pursee le	Balthing Black De	Tobleg_ITHE	RINT 1
fenyla, see Seley Sereptionalis Novice see the cost Normalistanics in	Taking_S190_Lonks	Taktiki, Plat	COR.15, BARD		Party in the Date Sale	sistent is	witter time in	Althing Plan	11011
بلادة بالوقائل وتناكل ومرجا وببا	Bakley, Phile Looks	e wat	1.19.41, 4.578		ومعار ومامال مافر حدوده	ptersoi to	8813		
foogla and he by Jugatestian. Foogla and la by Jugatestian	Chief, in Jack	checillargi set	I LABORY, BURN	÷.	Spong in alle Desirat Name	trates-	Part in Jack		SHA.
fenyla sen Juiny Hightention. Fenyla ola false Balatation	Fill Fore Table	MI .	T 1/2.58. 0.0758		12/2 - 20/2 / 20/2 / 20/2				
Desils.ats. Deles. Bestriracias.	Balt lips. Clear . Des	ditwet lar	e seguire ales	1	開設は記書にお	In pay 1 hour.	Maining There & Religing Garrying	silicity then	· 招新 !
Projek ale Juing Repetration. Frank ale Drive Resistration	Bantole Changing	Jeressi in. 10	T INCOME AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF	1	ferste de bier be	ternore I fare.	Beiting Place.	as Property	TINT .
feahle ade Intag begirreerten.	Inpart Leris		T 148,71, 4.12		Ten pie	Marrie Them.	Milling Fline .	-	4 41.85T.4
Paapile and Builey Payternation	Tenney, Alter, Latter	e ta	T LOL 29. 8-882	RIB.	Danala	Autraties.	Mairing Place f	or Johim 754	ELET I
fungila ada Betan Jagreteintan. fungila ada Detan Jan tetentian.	Taxing Flat. Lanks	it in the	1.69.61, 4.60		Persie de Bring Hop	intration.	Dill.	hale	FUEL OF
Propile John Johny Augustration,	Solube Physical	Checking but	I LOL:17. 0.003	HIN I	fen ale ichn Beinn Ber	ineres (en	Shrek In Berty		DEL C
Pengila ada Belorg Paginar akitar. Pengila ada Delog Penginteratian	Fill furn lable	No.	1.103.11. 11.173		Parala da Datas Ro-	felencies.	hilteranthy and	Presentatt for	IL DE L
fenglis zeln. De Lay, Fendinient der.	. Sefamintles, and J	www.slf.Tan We	T EOR.37, 8.803		fregte der Beine Bes	tanges I faire.	Mailten Place 8	estimation.	PERT -
festile ade In ing des tetest ter	Its again faile	AND AND AND	1 14211.11. 42	\$11 11 1	Pre sie ofen fering fen	Bollow, Classe,	Mailing Place 4	or Fastern	FIRST A
fengile_ade_fining_fegintention, fengile_ade_fining_begintenter.	Salling Place Jer	(Fagnes) to	1 148.79. A.KZ		Projek dos_Bring_Hop Projek dos_Bring_Hop	Antra Lien,	Marcine Place 1	or taking the	HERE'S
fueple.abs.duing.Jugistentian. resple.abs.duing.dupetentian.	Basting Place Jus	Taking Cliff the	1.1.1.1. 1.000		Peripte See De lag Dag	intration.	Toking 2008.144	ber .	10.00.1
Paupla ade Juine Pagintentine,	Wahting Plats Int.	listing films	1 648.62. 6.522		Basis Me Bring de	Elistica.	Talling Harding	er Lekses rie	1181
الملماطة الرحجار ومتجل عامر علودوا	Consider, Physical,	thesides to	E 648.5% R.80	e.e.	Por pla_she_beins_les	intention.	30411		FURT 1
ferete ein feine fin febitte f	Derivie Jester				Real of Ring Re	ting 1	Fill Fam. Table		問題 5
featile als Ining See Bobinle 1	Information and J	Second Sec. 16	1.1.0.11. 0.001		Preiste iden Beiten Hor	Oddisla J	listematics and	Proposit In	s TUTAN A
freib ein bim die febiele i	hade hay floor for	derester in	1 mailten		Freisle after Beine Ber	Ashiele Is .3	New Bershile Serv	Material and the state of the s	HH 1
forgela, ada, da cay para Andri and	Talsing Place . Inc	Jayment		1	Propie and Bring Man	Line J	Walling Cherry	ar Farmi	West 1
Propio and an ing Sec. Print in Propio and aring Sec. Subir in J	Fagerer Jarner	Jaking Slift	1.148.19, 8.12		For all one de las Mess Persis des De las Mess	Senter 1	Mailing Plans, J.	ar tek my till	BURT S
faagisa lake din tag daan (Fakse in 1	I horagifiler Larte		T LOB. 18, 18, 19, 197		Preisle	Awisto In	Weiting There I	m. Infring .71a	- 1181 -
haadda, adar 30 tay 34 a Saarta 14 S	Loong Plan, Lathe		1 619.82. 6.50	nin	Forula des Brins Her	Vehiele J	UNIT		FIRT 4

-	********	***	HFF1VALO CIXIXXXXX	***	*********		********		
Entity Locat	ion (tv Each	First T	inc	Occurrences	Free		logi	С
						_			-

This simulation model's result could be seen in Table 4.10, consisting of general information, locations, locations states multi, locations states single, entity activity, and entity states.

Table 4.10 General Information



This simulation model runs for 8 hours in one day and 25 replications on average. It shows the general information of the current model.

	SAMSAT CIKARANG MOD (Normal Run - Avg. Reps)							
Name	Scheduled Time (HB)	Capacity	Total Entries	Avg Time Per Entry (MIN)	Avg Contents	Maximum Contents	Current Contents	2 Utilization
Vehicle Physical Checking	8,00	7,00	966,70	3,45	6,96	7,00	7,00	99,36
Check in Desk	8,00	1,00	1317,90	0,00	0,00	1,00	0,00	0,00
Fill Form Table 1	8.00	3.00	417.00	3.15	2.73	3.00	3.00	91.15
Fill Form Table 2	8.00	3.00	384.30	3.17	2.54	3.00	2.50	84.63
Fill Form Table 3	8.00	3.00	322.20	3.16	2.12	3.00	2.60	70.65
Fill Form Table 4	8.00	3.00	168.70	3.18	1.12	3.00	1.40	37.26
Fill Form Table 5	8,00	3,00	25,30	3,15	0,17	3,00	0,00	5,56
Fill Form Table 6	8,00	3,00	0,40	1,43	0,00	0,40	0,00	0,10
Fill Form Table.7	8,00	3,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Fill Form Table	56,00	21,00	1317,90	3,16	1,24	15,40	9,50	41,34
Intomation and Progresit Tax	8,00	2,00	1308,40	0,13	0,35	2,00	0,30	17,72
Waiting Place Registration	8,00	999999,00	1308,10	218,64	595,86	1212,30	1212,20	0,06
ReRegistration Locket	8,00	3,00	74,00	19,27	2,97	3,00	3,00	98,90
Vehicle Dranging Information Locket	8,00	2,00	5,70	166,35	1,96	2,00	2,00	98,10
Eks Region Locket	8.00	2.00	5.50	174.98	1.96	2.00	2.00	98.04
New Vehicle Locket	8.00	5.00	10.70	222.45	4.93	5.00	5.00	38.50
Waiting Place for Payment	8.00	966999.00	83.90	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Payment Locket 1	8.00	1.00	77.30	0.73	0.12	1.00	0.10	11.78
Payment Locket 2	8.00	1.00	6.60	0.72	0.01	1.00	0.00	0.95
Payment Locket	15,00	2,00	83,90	0,73	0,05	2,00	0,10	6,38
Waiting Place for Taking STNK	8,00	900039,00	83,80	0,00	0,00	1,00	0,00	0,00
Taking STNK Locket/1	8,00	1,00	S1,80	0,19	0,03	1,00	0,10	3,25
Taking STNK Locket 2	8,00	1.00	2,00	0.16	0,00	0.90	0.00	0,08
Taking STNK Locket	16,00	2,00	83,80	0.19	0,82	1.90	0,10	1,66
Waiting Place for Taking Plat	8,00	9999999,00	38,20	11,97	0,96	4,50	1,70	0,00
Taking Plat Locket	8,00	1,00	36,50	9,78	0,74	1,00	0,90	74,20
Incoming Place	8,00	9999999,00	1779,70	61,33	227,37	455,10	453,80	0,03

Table 4.11 Locations Output

Table 4.11 shows the information in each location. Each location has scheduled time, capacity, total entries, average time per entry, average contents, maximum contents, current contents, and utilization in per cent.

SAMS	AT CIKARANG.MOD (Nor	mal Run - <i>i</i>	Avg. Reps)		
Name	Scheduled Time (HR)	% Empty	% Part Occupied	% Full	% Do w n
Vehicle Physical Checking	8,00	0,11	1,08	98,81	0,00
Fill Form Table.1	8,00	0,20	23,56	76,24	0,00
Fill Form Table.2	8,00	1,14	35,64	63,22	0,00
Fill Form Table.3	8,00	4,91	54,09	40,99	0,00
Fill Form Table.4	8,00	35,38	51,78	12,84	0,00
Fill Form Table.5	8,00	88,19	10,97	0,84	0,00
Fill Form Table.6	8,00	99,70	0,30	0,00	0,00
Fill Form Table.7	8,00	100,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Fill Form Table	56,00	47,08	25,19	27,73	0,00
Information and Progresif Tax	8,00	69,39	25,77	4,84	0,00
Waiting Place Registration	8,00	1,42	98,58	0,00	0,00
ReRegistration Locket	8,00	0,90	0,40	98,69	0,00
Vehicle Changing Information Locket	8,00	1,63	0,50	97,87	0,00
Eks Region Locket	8,00	1,65	0,62	97,73	0,00
New Vehicle Locket	8,00	0,99	0,99	98,03	0,00
Waiting Place for Payment	8,00	99,99	0,01	0,00	0,00
Waiting Place for Taking STNK	8,00	100,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Waiting Place for Taking Plat	8,00	52,38	47,62	0,00	0,00
Incoming Place	8,00	0,60	99,40	0,00	0,00

Table 4.12 Location States Multi

Table 4.13 Location States Single

	SAMSAT CIKAF	RANG.MOD (N	ormal Run	- Avg. R	eps)		
Name	Scheduled Time (HR)	% Operation	% Setup	% Idle	% Waiting	% Blocked	% Down
Check In Desk	8,00	0,00	0,00	100,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Payment Locket.1	8,00	11,78	0,00	88,22	0,00	0,00	0,00
Payment Locket.2	8,00	0,99	0,00	99,01	0,00	0,00	0,00
Payment Locket	16,00	6,38	0,00	93,62	0,00	0,00	0,00
Taking STNK Locket.1	8,00	3,25	0,00	96,75	0,00	0,00	0,00
Taking STNK Locket.2	8,00	0,08	0,00	99,92	0,00	0,00	0,00
Taking STNK Locket	16,00	1,66	0,00	98,34	0,00	0,00	0,00
Taking Plat Locket	8,00	74,20	0,00	25,80	0,00	0,00	0,00

Table 4.14 EntityActivity

8	Inches	Earned By In Colon	Ang. Time (n. Lipvices (1974))	Ary Enc & Horn Login (1996)	Ang Kase Walang (M.H.)	Wag Time in Specifics (1876)	Any Time Dischool (MDI)
Post in LongTempitate	4.0	8.175	210	1.0	4.0	14,22	20.4
Proals on Using/Tempination 7 Years	14.94	(88:18	104.96	1.00	6.00	81.28	138.44
Finish sets (Lingfreemand Setup Dangegroup)	1.100	1178.30	294.0	1.00		101/18	154
Faraki whi Duty Fagorane frie fumile Fagtes	300	126.26	33.2	1.00	6.00	28140	17.01
Parate day DangPeer Felide Department	6.20	47:30	328.17	1.00	1.20	28.17	28.39
Property in the second s	8.00		4.0	C 7808	100		1.0

Table 4.15	Entity	States

SAMSAT CIKARANG	i.MOD (Normal Run	- Avg. Reps	s)	
Name	% In Move Logic	% Waiting	% In Operation	% Blocked
People who Doing Reregistration	0,00	0,00	10,78	89,22
People who Doing Reregistration 5 Years	0,45	0,00	15,02	84,53
People who Doing Registration of Vehicle Changing Info	0,34	0,00	75,69	23,97
People who Doing Registration from Outside Region	0,32	0,00	76,87	22,81
People who Doing New Vehicle Registration	0,31	0,00	90,75	8,94
People	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

4.8 Validation and Verification

The method of determining whether the system accurately represents the conceptual model is known as model verification. The method of determining whether the conceptual model correctly reflects the actual system is known as model validation. Both are crucial in determining the simulation model's success.

Simulation models are a visual representation of real-world models that will never be identical to the real-world system. As a result, in order to meet the desired goals and aims, the model should be verified and validated. Validating the output from the overall simulation model, face validity (experts), and seeing the animation are three approaches used to validate the model.

The first step is to validate the simulation model's output. Checking whether the simulation model's output exactly matches the cumulative outputs of the actual system is one of the most definitive measures of a validative output simulation. The performance results from the simulation and actual systems are matched if a simulation system is close to the real system. It can be inferred that the simulation model is accurate if two sets of data are very similar. The simulation model is focused on decision makers' utility functions. If the model is valid, the user should be certain that the simulation system's results are similar to those of the actual system.

The simulation model will be compared to the real system in this study, under the same conditions and assumptions. The aim is to see if the simulation model's performance is identical to the actual system and if the simulation model is accurate. By asking experts/information staff in SamSat Cikarang, the average number of people exist within 8 hours who are doing reregistration are 50, people doingfive-year re-registration are 25, people doing vehicle changing information are 5, people doing ex region registration are 5, and people doing new vehicle registration are 5. The simulation model was built based on actual data in ProModel software. The simulation model can be seen in Appendix 1. The output data of the simulation model was compared with the output data of actual system. The result of simulation model such as number of people within 8 hours who doing re-registration are 46, people doing re-registration 5 years are 24, people doing vehicle changing information are 4, people doing eks region registration are 3, and people doing new vehicle registration are 6. Since the value of the existing system and simulation model are closely similar. By comparing the both of them, it could be considered that the simulation model is valid.

Second, face validity entails frequent interactions with decisionmakers. The analyst can engage with the policy maker and other team members daily, which is one of the most critical concepts for creating a reliable and accurate model. The following are some of the main advantages of this approach: it ensures that the right problem is solved. It is possible that the actual existence of the issue would not be understood right away. During the analysis, the decision-maker could alter his or her priorities. The research maintains the decision maker's confidence and commitment. When the decision-maker knows and agrees with the model's predictions, the model is more credible.

The researcher tests the feasibility of the system by consulting with experts and personnel at Samsat Cikarang to see if it is already right. The researcher interviewed information staff in Samsat Cikarang for this study. She watched the simulation and verified that the real system is similar to the model. The staff's approval indicates that the simulation model is already valid.

Watching animation is the third and final approach for verification and validation. It may be used to track the movement of entities from one location to another to determine whether or not it is accurate. The visual animation of the model's operating behavior is contrasted to one's understanding of how the real system behaves. Visual errors are observed that may otherwise go unnoticed. In certain cases, animation is more useful in defining a problem than in identifying the cause of a problem.

In ProModel, the researcher runs the animation and watches it. When the simulation system is finished, it can be compared to the current system. Both have the same pattern, and the movement of entities behaves similarly to the real system. As a result, it is possible to assume that the simulation model is valid.

4.9 Improved System

After making the current model, the researcher would like to improve the model by increasing the total exits of each entity, such as people who are doing reregistration annually, people who are doing five-year reregistration, people who are doing vehicle changing information, people who are doing ex region registration, and people who are doing new vehicle registration. The three proposed systems is conducted to have several selections of which model is the most efficient one in improving the system performance. The proposed system consist of shorter-term, medium, and long-term proposed system. First, shorter-term by adding several service capacities in several location such as physical vehicle checking, number of employee in each registration locket, and last, adding employee in taking plat locket. Giving training to the employee and simply the standard operational procedure (SOP) will reduce the service time in the medium term. Third, long tern proposed a system, by combining both method and create plan for the future. In this proposed system, the number of employees is balanced and the service time is reduced by simplifying the work method and SOP.

4.9.1 Proposed System I (Shorter's Proposed System)

In this first improvement, the location capacity is increased in order to maximize the number of exits. Not all locations are needed, just the important location from the model. The information regarding adding the location capacity are showed as belows.

Table 4.16 Comparation between Current and Proposed 1Location Capacity

	Current	Location	Proposed	Location
	Capacity		Capacity	
Vehicle Physical Checking	7		15	
Reregistration Locket	3		6	
Vehicle Changing Information	2		7	
Locket				
Eks Region Registration	2		7	
New Vehicle Registration	5		20	
Taking Plat Locket	1		2	

Since the arrival mean is 0.27 min, so to fulfill the customer incoming, the physical vehicle checking should be increased and then push the total exits of the re-registration process, so the number of capacity increases. Last, because taking plat take time 9.82 min, so adding one capacity. Table 4.17 present the information of a proposed system 1.

*******	н к ж ж ж ж	xxxxxx xxxxxx	ns XXXXX	******	*******	******	(***
lame	Cap	Units	State	5	Rules		Cost
Jehicle_Physical_Checking	15	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	,	
Check_In_Desk	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First	
ill_Form_Table	3	7	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First	
Fill_Form_Table.1	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	,	
Fill_Form_Table.2	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.3	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.4	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.5	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.6	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.7	3	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Information_and_Progresif_Tax	2	1	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First	
laiting_Place_Registration	Inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
ReRegistration_Locket	6	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Jehicle_Changing_Information_L	7	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Eks_Region_Locket	7	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
New_Vehicle_Locket	20	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
laiting_Place_for_Payment	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Payment_Locket	1	2	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First	
Payment_Locket.1	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
Payment_Locket.2	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
laiting_Place_for_Taking_STNK	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
[aking_STNK_Locket	1	2	Time	Series	Oldest,	, First	
[aking_STNK_Locket.1	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
[aking_STNK_Locket_2	1	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
laiting_Place_for_Taking_Plat	inf	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
[aking_Plat_Locket	ż.	1	Time	Series	Oldest,		
ncoming_Place	ınf	1	Time	Series	Videst,		

Table 4.18 Entities Proposed System 1

 Name
 Speed (fpn)
 Stats
 Cost

 People_uho_Doing_Reregistratio
 150
 Time Series
 People_uho_Doing_Reregistratio

 People_uho_Doing_Reregistratio
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Reregistratio
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Registratio
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Registration
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Registration
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Registration
 150
 Time Series

 People_uho_Doing_Registration
 150
 Time Series

Table 4.19 Processing Proposed System 1

		feeren			Band Jos	
Farmy	Linist Nat	Apas at has	#1h	Sept.	Benef Inter have	Bale
Forgela	Terming_Plane		*	Propio principlication de regulation de Regilie anna de las de regulations de Regilie anna de las de regulations de Regilie anna de las deglinesses por Regilie anna de las des des destroites de	Deck, Ju., Jury and J. Checking Institute In: Physical Atlanticity Decke In: Physical Checking Methics In: Physical Checking United In: Physical Checking	R. 10,0000 R. 11,0000 R. 11,0000 R. 10,0000 R. 10,0000
Propia and Deleg Receptations	This, Jr., Jush Fill, Jorn, Jahlo		6.	Paugla who Dulva de registration	Pill fors little	CARR &
Propile, ebs. Delsa, Derendstret in	Islanution.out.Respectif.Re-	walt \$49.13, 8.86290	¢.,	Deals also Baine Reconstituents	their in Fine Sectored in	FT 807 1
Regit als faite ferministic	Welling, Plays Numbershies has been	WALT LAST. W.355 1	d.	Nucle who Dylen Dersubstant in	Belleugiszynt (my Joseks).	PIRE I
test at the trajing it	Mail, ing. Flags, for Passent	WIT CO	2	Reil: Ste Bing Brashiterite	Balting, Flans For Segment	Dist.
People, sin fieles, incentioned in Scople site form, foreglatered in	Mainting Flans, for Voking 2008 Tabley STMP Jacked		1	Pauglie, aller Bulley, Reseguineser in Sergie, aller Bulley, Bereglinteer in	Salutio ATRN Lacker	Table 2
People and Dalog Receptation in	Fabile in Provinces, Obschlung	WALL LOR. 27, 0.002000	61	People and thing. Be segled air to		11368 1
freite ets Beine Bereglatteit in	Charles, Sec. Sec. a.		2	Pagis .na. Ning. A repliced in	PLBL.form_[abdu	1100.1
Paugin and Paley Incognition in	information and Programif . Jac		2	Inspire , one , lie tog, lie registration	Information_and_Proprovid_1a	1.000.3
fruite ofe fates beregistent in	Balling, Floor, Neglplesting		1	Nample , when Deliver, Dermodictorer in Nample , when Deliver, No respiratorer in	Main ing Finns Sundary Law bet.	2四1
funge afe feine ferentatent in	Beltiten flags fter Ramont		T	Repla ale Bile Braghtestie	Huld log Flace, For Pagenet	PERCE I
freude abn Bales Breestatest in	Unition Flave For Soline 3788	WIT LOR OF A STATE	1	Pergie ate in in a seregistant to reache ate in in in westater in	Haff frog . Flore, Far	Tank a
Pauple als Aring Sevenintent in	Building Flarm for Making Flat	anti testini station	30	People also Daites Reversioners to People also daites Reversionerts	Making Place for Juking Fire	11111
Periple and Belog Presidentes	Tolding The Limited	9817 LCK.81, 4.12180		Pergis .ale. Duing .be registers in		PERMIT 1
People, and Dates, Southern the	Check in Dark	ANTI FURTES, WINDOWS	٤.	Parally other Holey, Might treat see,	Unsil, in Path	PLANT 1
Pauple of Price for istanting	Pill From Lobis	Well Log. 59, 8, 82940	6		All and the second second second	1000104
freifin ein Betre bratettertim-	An 18 Long. P. Lane			fergia, sta de 169 de gletent (as., 1	ال مواقعة والطر وطاوحها). علاما الم	KIME A
(unp)e nim Ballin Beatrientinn.	Valtine Flace for Peakers	the states in the second	6 3	fanti-de-faite-fantistist-1	fulting Floor for Formail	Second a
Pearle one Billy Brutchestine	Papernt_Laster Mathing Flags for Tubles 2700	MET LOLVE, MATTER		benie de feine ferintretien-	fall im Fiam for Taking 1718	WINEY X
fung in and Serve Reat contents.	Failing (1991, Section)	BRET BOBLES, BARDERS		furgin afe fains Beutatent int . 1	talking Place for Joking Plat	gimit a
freis be aber Beiten Beginnerentinen.	Landong, Place, Sandard	BREE GIT.RE. KATORIN		Fernis de feine Reistanting	febbos. Phen how how	FIRST &
fmaglin seler Molen Bentarreint iner.	inisis in Physical Clasking	1000 LOLDT, M.B.D.		furgia "die Deing Begietent im	Beck, In. Perk	FIRST &
feine in aber Berrin Reuterternt ben-	Check, In. Such FLUL, Pren, Lebie	WET SOLDS, MATHEN		forgia abs foiss Arginiantius :	FERL Revel Table	1000 1
People, ploy, Bring, Brate tool loos,	Information, and Property Jac.	HALL DIR. LT. M. MILLEN	81	fengie als fairs Registent im. 1	falt ing Place Beglittant imt	FIRST &
Ferry in some the boy the plant much been	the in the Place Sugicitated inter-	unit local.31. 43.429	εx.	Perijin (da (bij)) nijirinat (an) i	(be Beginn Laibeil	PINCE 5
Reng in John Beitrig, Beginttention. Reng in John Beitrig, Begin inntiert.	Galleting, Place Jaco Pagasan 1 Paperent Jacobe 1	MIT LOD. 91. 8.17781		fergin ate De ing Regintant ter.	Pagentet La the	Terms a
fens in ane friten Bratternation.	Solution, Place, Apr. Julian, 2140			fengin ufn Being Begintert inn.	Landon, CD48, Joe Let	TRUE &
teng in also dis ing featurention.	Saliting Flore for Toking Flat	ser trans, extern		Fragta da Balag Seguinerian	laking Flat Janine	FINE C
Fong in , and , wind, we are a special	which Pepiloal Deckley	MART SARAT, MARTIN A		Bergin. die . In my Beyintentian 1	CONT.	#1#47 A
freite in ante Briten Bern Beftittin &	Chech in Seck	HET LABOR. MATCHING		People and In any Are Andres in Art	fill.Burn. Lafebr	20.00
People.orbs.3hipp.New.Febbule.8	Information_and_Property.ltm		53	د الار در منظمان مکار وما هار مخر دانوده ا	Information_and_Peoperate_Ten	10.000
teopie anne Betra Ben Babiris &	Malfing, Files Aughtmation.			People and Dame Analytic is a f	Bur Web trie Lincher	TRAN 1
terie an min an fabin 4	Valiting Flars Ave Posters			Fergin, afa "Deing Rev. Dabie in "A. Fergin, afa "Deing "Nev. Vakie in "A.	is de long "P Lace "P Les Ares, Pagnesal) Pegnerit "Sers bes	THUR &
tati to bite britatel	Saintee Flore Apr. Islites STOR	serr ton or mattern		Rain de Reis Reis de la de	alting Plain, For Taking (198	Table +
fane in abe Bifre ben Seblichen	Valution, Place . Jpr. Joking . Plat.		ŧ 1	tente de feite de attent i	atting floor for Taking flos	利期(1
and the second	the state of the s	and the said straight a	1	forgin and Salay See Makinin 8 1	1441	FIMT 5

7.) =	*******	*****	***********	assessaaa Irriuals	*******	****
*					······	
	People	Incoming_Place	409 Each 1		inf	L(0.27, 0.04)NIN

Table 4.20 Arrivals Proposed System 1

4.9.2 Proposed System II (Middle's Proposed System)

In the second proposed system, the service time is reduced. It is reduced because after doing the research, it is known that service time also impacts the total exits of the entities. The larger the service time, the smaller the number of total exits of entities. Therefore, the service time from several locket are reduced. Table 4.21 shows the current and reduced service time in a specific locket.

 Table 4.21 Comparison between Current and Reduced

 Service Time 1

	Current	Service	Reduced	Service
	Time		Time	
Re-registration Annually	19.57		10.57	
Taking Plat	9.82		5.82	
Vehicle Changing Information	209		159	
Eks Region Registration	212.7		152.72	
New Vehicle Registration	279		229	

The reduced service time can be gotten by giving training to the employee in Samsat Cikarang. The training is about making every movement done by the person in charge in a process effectively, so that the person will make no unneeded movement to minimize the service time. After the training is conducted, change the standard operating procedure based on the training results to do the task regarding the new SOP. After the service time is reduced, the total entities exits is increased. Below are shown the information of proposed system 2.

******	****	*****	****	******	*******	******	
Name	Cap	Units	Stat	S	Rules	Cost	
Vehicle_Physical_Checking Check In Desk	7	1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest,	, First	
Fill_Form_Table	3	?	Tine	Series	Oldest,	, First	
Fill_Form_Table.2	3	1	Tine	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.3 Fill_Form_Table.4	3	1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.5 Fill_Form_Table_6	3	1	Tine	Series	Oldest,		
Fill_Form_Table.7	š	i	Tine	Series	Oldest,	Binat	
Waiting_Place_Registration	Ínf	1	Tine	Series	Oldest.	, First	
KeKegistration_Locket Vehicle_Changing_Information_L	3	1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest,		
Eks_Region_Locket New Vehicle Locket	25	1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest.	:	
Waiting_Place_for_Payment Paument Locket	inf 1	1 2	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest	First	
Payment_Locket.1	i	ĩ	Tine	Series	Oldest,	, 11130	
Waiting_Place_for_Taking_STNK	inf	1	Tine	Series	Oldest,	·	
Taking_STNK_Locket Taking_STNK_Locket.1	1 1	2 1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest,	, First	
Taking_STNK_Locket.2 Waiting Place for Taking Plat	1 inf	1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest.	:	
Taking_Plat_Locket Incoming Place	1 inf	1 1	Tine Tine	Series Series	Oldest, Oldest.		
v =						-	

Table 4.22 Location Proposed	S	vstem	2
	<u> </u>	youonn	~

Table 4.23 Entities Proposed 2

*		Ent	tities	****	*****	*
Name		Speed	(fpm)	Stats	Cost	
People_who_Do: People_who_Do:	ng_Reregistratio	150 150		Time Series		-
People_who_Do: People_who_Do: People_who_Do:	ng_Registration_	150		Time Series		
People_who_Do: People_who_Do: People	ing_New_Vehicle_R	150		Time Series		

Table 4.24 Processing Proposed 2

		Enviro an			Busting	
Beckly	Location	Special and Da	Dalgel		Being the states	fale .
Prepie	(wartes, Place	•		beregistratis beressturatis depicted in depicted in	Gent in Jean Vehicle, Spirit d. Section Vehicle, Spirit d. Section Vehicle, Spirit d. Section	
Paspin adm Beiten Bere abetent in	Pill, Fern Juble-	WHIT MALID, R. WORTH	lugis oto beb	ng Berugsetraria	Fill, Pers, Table	TRUE A
Provincedor "Beirer, Berratoriani in	Information.and.Preams If. Jac	well 508.13, 8 819-10	feasis sta lat	in Bernalitratio	Writing fleer Budilantian	FIRST 1
freife afe feine ferresteinet in	Weite feen Place. Sendly unit les.	1011 1011.02. 1.313 mile	francis of a Del	ny Rorey late av is	fte Beigt opprot fine "Reichten	\$7987.1
fungtin sin Beiten bere gintent in fungtin tim beiten Bare prerset in	Weiking Them for Tayson's Payment Include	MUL M. 21. 8 12948	heaple_sta_las	g_Beregletratio	Payment, Jan let	think a
Propile, ebe Baires Berresistant is	Mathine, Place, Son Toking, STM Jakary, J.162 Lindad	1011 LOB 19, 8 415-10	Feering and Join	or Bernyisteatin	Taking Shine Juy Lating 199.	200.1
People Ma Julio, Perceptoret 14	Pablic Tresson Station	1011 Sci. 17. 0. 00000	teagin_whether	ng_Bonegisteatio	BEJY.	A HOLE &
freide ein Britte Berratetent in	Sath in their	1417 LIN 10 B 17100	feaple of the	ng Monoglatestis	Fill Parm. Table	10000 1
Praule and Dates Serveintrat in	(starnet im and Progress If Las	1011 108.10, 0.R.P.10	Heapin you Dob	ng Moregistratia	Information and Proprettic fin-	1808 1
freufe afe Befre Berrebetent fe	Helting Plays Seals was been		Francis of the Bark	in Berngleiratis	Oniting Plans Argintration Infortune int forther	11011
fragin ale Beirg Bereglitteat te	Writing Plant, Ann Pagement		Ingie de fei	ig hompletratio	Bairting Plans for Passant Pagenet for the	THE A
fragie. the . Joing Beregintrest in	Hotting, Place See, Taking, ITW	Series and the series of the s	fragis_sts_last Tangis_are_last	ng beregtateatie	Mathing Plans for taking 1986 Taking ADM Landor	#1962 1 18184 1
Paupin ine Joing Bore protect in	Hoteley, Plans, Syr. J aldre, Fiat	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Bungla of a Dala Bangla of a Dala	ng Bernyistratis	Weising Alam Jor Liking Flat	FIRE 1
People of Dubis Service 11	Tables Fire lacher	HEAT BUSINESS H. SAMER	Desails_stw.Bolk	e Bornyistentis	1871	FORT 1
Frank de beler ferietet in	Buck is first	full courty, manyon	franks do fel	a baitetin	Steph In Peak	fater.4
frante afa fiefen Beut ernet im	F111 From Table	SHIT MOLDEL 0.579500				
(tenite obe Beben Beufeinen inn.)	and the Plane Beateries in	unit marks where "	Pring (e. artis: Jack	a.batostini	in the Changing Intermetion .	(2000T.)
fragie she finfen destetraries.	Balt long Flags		Personal States States	C. Content of Lord	Salling_Flass_for, Fayment	PARTY A
fraule, wie Buies, Begintentian, 1	elting Floor for Jakies 2008	unit 1.00.00. 0.100 mil	Name in adu facia Principal adu facia	a Bastetration.	Valling, Place, Sec. 3 abling, 1248 Inking, 1288, Louist	(188) (1889)
People, she Being Britstration, 1 People whe Being Britsterian	Infrant (1991, Sealing)	with Linksy, statistic	Nought when Data	a Registration.	Salting Flory For Johing Flat	11011
Preile_ste Bales_Byfotesties_	Johing Plat Joshek	WHT 5/5.02. 4.510 HB	Pring tim, when the like	a Inglicention.	ADCT	PRESS 1
Progin was deber Bestimerten :	Chief and the state of the state	date per try, in any state	Progle des Sein	g.Begletraifert.	Check, In., Sech.	FRENT A
Pengile, she Bebry Binbritration.	Fill Perm Jahle	MOST LCL. IR. 6.6.73886	People Mar Sein	a Repártention.	Istornation_and_Preprintf_Tex	1000 1
People_ster.Bobsy_Replicanting_	Indermotion_and_Progressif_Tex.	with Purch a second	frenie ein fein	a Benfetretier.	Baut ten Finen Bents bruntim	Differ i
Propie ale Balley Brittentine.	the August Larbert	WHET BATER. W. 48. 607 FOR	Diagle ate Sela	. Benfetten tfert	Balt ing Fires fur Fammer	CARDY A
Regin die Baby Begintenties-	Roymont, Analyst	MARE NOT 21, 0.3 20004	Progla ate Bala	m Regforten i furs.	Paperson Annalest	CORRECT OF
Proule, she Bales Begirtentine. 1 New In. also Judey Begirtent Las.	Lating Also Jackson 198		Progle_ster_lete	Replatention	Tables_1781_Loufet	10001
Paula die Belen Besterneiten.	bolding Fiers for Johing Flat	UNIT 1-1.12. 4.5.17 MM	Propin_ate_Dela	Billitration	Jaking Post Louber	開設
Persona wire Bade y How Loth in In . P	inhis in Physical (Beckley	UNET \$40.17, 0,003000	Pone in your Doin	a Residence Lines.	6817	FORT 1
fereit de feier der feltete bi	Chevis In Sevis	INT LO IN ALTON	Propin and a deca	a no tratale i	Fill fam, Teille	THER I
Prepie ale Bales Hes teltiche B	Information and Preprettl Sec.	HALF LOP. 13. C.BLORD	People and Date	a des Jahiela J	Is famation, and Prepriotif, Ias	1000 1
Propin one Bring Heating and	Aiting Plan, Reportation		2201-00-001	ter antes	Walt ton Flat a Registration	間間
Panala, and Balan. Men lothin is .R (halting Flore for Research	and stars, which were	itter is da fait	- an abiend	Salting floor, for Pasters	THE .
Provide, also Bailey Mear Velice for Hill Penalty, also Bailey, Mear Velice for Hill	featers, Lockey Solition Flass for Taking Sild	UNT 110.72. 0.175 000	Anti-de feb	a fte febite f	Saft ing Plane for Jaking 1788	PLEET, I
Praule site Balley Here Det talle B	tabler itt laufer	UVER LOLLY, BURDEN	Progla Mar. Sola	a. Ber fabiels .	Softing Place Jpr. Jaking Plat	FERET 1
Propile alle Bales Ben tel star R	lating Flet, beidet	WHEE \$15.00. 4.531989	Print in Adv. Sola	a Res Interio A	and State	PURCY 1

Table 4.25 Arrivals Proposed 2

: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\										
*				hrriva)	ls			*		

Entity	Location	Qty	Each	First	Time	Occurrences	Frequency	Logic		
People	Incoming_Place	1				inf	L<0.27, 0.04>NIN			

4.9.3 Proposed System III (Long's Proposed System)

In this third proposed system, the researcher combine two method above by balancing the capacity location and reducing service time. In this proposed, the number of increasing location capacity are lowered than first proposed system, but holding the training to the employee so the service could be decreased. The comparison between the current system and the proposed system as belows.

Table 4.25 Comparison between Current and ProposedLocation Capacity 2

	Current Locati	on Proposed Location
	Capacity	Capacity
Vehicle Physical Checking	7	10
Reregistration Locket	3	5
Vehicle Changing Information	2	4
Locket		
Eks Region Registration	2	4
New Vehicle Registration	5	12
Taking Plat Locket	1	2

Table 4.26 Comparison between Current and ReducedService Time 2

	Current	Service	Reduced	Service
	Time		Time	
Re-registration Annually	19.57		10.57	
Taking Plat	9.82		5.82	
Vehicle Changing Information	209		159	
Eks Region Registration	212.7		152.72	
New Vehicle Registration	279		229	

After that, input to the ProModel and the result of view text are shown in Table 4.27.

Name	Cap	Units	Stats	Rules	Cost	
Vehicle Physical Checking	10	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Check In Desk	1	1	Time Serie	s Oldest First		
Fill Form Table	3	7	Time Serie	s Oldest First		
Fill Form Table.1	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Fill_Form_Table.2	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest.		
Fill_Form_Table.3	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Fill_Form_Table.4	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Fill Form Table.5	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Fill Form Table.6	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Fill Form Table.7	3	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Information_and_Progresif_Tax	2	1	Time Serie	s Oldest First		
Waiting_Place_Registration	Inf	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
ReRegistration_Locket	5	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Vehicle_Changing_Information_L	4	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Eks_Region_Locket	4	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, .		
New_Vehicle_Locket	12	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, ,		
Waiting Place for Payment	inf	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Payment_Locket	1	2	Time Serie	s Oldest First		
Payment_Locket.1	1	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Payment_Locket.2	1	1	Time Serie	s Oldest		
Waiting_Place_for_Taking_STNK	inf	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, .		
Taking_STNK_Locket	1	2	Time Serie	s Oldest, , First		
Taking_STNK_Locket.1	1	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, ,		
Taking_STNK_Locket.2	1	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, ,		
Waiting_Place_for_Taking_Plat	inf	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, ,		
Taking_Plat_Locket	2	1	Time Serie	s Oldest, ,		
Incoming Disco		-1	Time Couie	a Oldaat		

Table 4.27 Location Proposed 3

Table 4.28 Entities Proposed 3

«жихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихжихж							
Name	Speed (fpm)	Stats	Cost				
People_who_Doing_Reregistratio People_who_Doing_Reregistratio People_who_Doing_Registration_ People_who_Doing_Registration_ People_who_Doing_New_Vehicle_R People	150 150 150 150 150 150 150	Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series Time Series					

Table 4.29 Processing Proposed 3

		Presso			Benet ires	
Tetday.	Landias	Descettes	-	flatgod	Beert to all the	Bale
Pengla	frameling Place		•	Forgeln, sta Raine, Berngletent i Forgeln, sta Robert, Berngletent i Forgeln, sta Robert, Berthiter int Forgeln, sta Robert, Berthiter int Forgeln, sta Robert, Berthiter int	Gast, /o. best Sala in Provident, Decking Sala in Provident, Darking Sala in Provident, Darking Sala in Provident, Darking	U LOBORIO U LOBORIO U LOBORIO U ANDROU U RANDROU
Paugla, whe Dairy Beregistant in	Phill, Form, Jobbs	MIT LC1.48, 0,47900	÷.,	People, abs. Juing , fareguterer in	information and Personnell Inc.	10.00
Perpis and Deling Recognition in	Deliveration, and Propert U.Jan	1011 MILES, 0.0000	£.,	Printle als Bailey Bronglatert in	Building Place Replacements	SURET &
Paugin , etc., Dairy , Recognition in rangin , etc., Dairy , Recognition in	Untering Flace Degistret ton Million Lorentet		ŵ.	Pergia, sto Boing Brengietrat in	Bolla glationsk ton "Janman	1.1014
Paugia and Dairy Scottistical in Second Sciences in Second Sciences and Sciences Sciences in Sciences in Second Sciences in Sec	Building, Marce, Sor., Suprant.		8	Man and Andreas	Payment Linking	init i
Repla die Betre Bernstatentie	Manufactory Planes, New Yorking, 2190	W/T LOB.25. 0.00010	8	Reif is als Bring Bregistant is	Taking Diff. Landar	1510.14
Paugla also Dulog Suregistanti la	Addison Physics I Deating	UC1 140.47, 8.8850	1	Primin als Bring Bernstetestic	ENI 1	FURT 1
fergie sie feite ferneletert in	Gund, in Bath	HETE LAT. 10. 0.1.7910	2	People and Bring Bring Stratts	Fill Jern Jobie	mar 1
People sin John Secondation in	Information and Francist Inc.		2.1	Pergie abs Butes Revertitent in	Information and Property Tax	ILM 1
Reit de feite Breatitettie	Mainting Phase Registron ton		i.	People abe Bring Brenglitrette	Befregist est ine Jacobal	11211
femle sie faire fernetation in	Belling flags for Pagent	WIT 148-21. 8.12800	Γ.	Pergin abs Being Brengistent in Pergin abs Baing Brengistent in	Building Place for Report	NUMBER OF
Penpie ans Juden Bereginfertin	Uniting Time Ave Cables 2190) .	Rargia ala foing farmittert in	Marting Place for Island, 1988	BURN S
Pagla of Diley Receptation is	Walting floor for loking Plat	teris Menter elected	1	People ats Balan Brengistest in People ats Balan Brenjistest in	Maining Plans, for Tohing, Clay	11011
People and Deleg Receptorer in	Tohing_Fish_Losbet	MUT LOLDE, 4.53940	5	Pargle, she Bring Breagistiest to	80017	PERT 1
Presita de bilan degistration.	anten Black Int Augures	MUT LOD. TO		_ An a la _ An a g . In ghinn at the _	Pagnasi Jacks	10.00 1
fergie ide Bries Bestetestim.	attine flore for faking 100		Ĕ	Parpir sin faire desintent int-	West how Filmer day Tabling TINS	間間。
Persie	taiting Floor for Joking Plot	with late in a definite	0	ferste eine Reite Beltitet int	Weith Store Plantes Jone Television, Plant	目標1
Freght de bine Besternisten	taking Plan, ander	WIT DESITE CARDEN	÷ .	Propile , star, Dollar, Neglistant ins.	EXET	FERT 1
Periels	Chucks, In Sec. 4		E :	Parale wher Dollar forghotowat ma. Parale when Dollar Segletanet and	Cherch / a Berch FLLI Joren Lable	PART 1
Preside Jacobi Selang Segarananan, I	F112_Dem_14.819	MIT GULLE, MARCHINE	6.3	Pauple , etc. Doing , Registered Lon	bebureatter, and , Propres II , Lie	10.00 1
forule_ofer_being_Bauterretion1	balt top. Fine r . Beglichent ton	and party, and the	£}	Paryle one living degistantine.	theiring Flace Bapleroon inn	PIRC 1
Projeta "abat "do Loj, "degite i en tinet	fte, hýte, techel	HELE CONT. 121 49-1020		Pargie ans Dane Beginteering.	witting Plans, das Departs	DOM: N
Ferple, du, Je ing Jegistration.	August, Sechet	HET UR. 73. 0.170418	1	Pergia	Unit ing Plans, Jee Juning, 5188	BART I
Freide die Ring Begintratien.	halting Flore, Par Joking Stee	1811 148-17. S.BURIN		Perple and Drive Perguitesties.	faking 200 being	HING L.
Red de biz feinenin.	and the Fliver For Joking Plat		8	Forple one Doing Boutcherstint	Tables Plat, Los ton	FIRM I
Prephe also being thes ficklade #1	fehinde, Plus in Ak (Benkins	HEE DOLLY, ALBORD	1	Propie ster Bring Bughters ton.	Bart In Bart	PERST A
Pergin afer Being ben Babitis #	Check in Buck			For pla year for by Mar ton in a	and the analysis of the second se	BB.'.
Preiste afm Bolag New Mublishe B	beformation and Properties In. Los	WCT GALLS, 4.0.2010	1	Prople_one_Drive_Stric.Robicle_St	Information and Program M. Jan	10.09.1
freife die bies bie febiele f	foiting Place Begintentine	and been an electro	Ę	Register Beller Bert Beller in B	his 10 hop. Flower, Bag terrout has Nets, No bill he Lowbert	用新1
freiste efte Beien Ben Bebliche &	taiting Floor for Japmet	inter the lot in column	£ - }	tapit its Bills ma tabling	Welt byg .Flace des .Pogesett	RING', b
Prepie de Jeing New National 1	taiting Fires Jar Johing 100	and the second second	1	Rept. der feine der febblicht	do in hig Plann, fur Janker, 2100	Read at
Propie and the second state of	ables Bless for Johns Plat	0015 (40712) 0700(600		Richt ein ftitt ter febitit &	west nor Plane 1 or Soldies, 71at.	FINI 1
Preuls ofer Being wes Schlidte B	Ishing That Lander	HELE 145.43. 4.530MD		Penale aim Daine May Solitate in 18	DUT	FEBOT A

Table 4.30 Arrivals Proposed 3

н ин ин чил на ранки и маала ал и касала жака касала ка							
EstLty	Location	Qty Each	First Tine	Occurrences	Prequency	Legic	
People	Inconing_Place	1		106	L<0.27, 0.047HIN		

4.9.4 Comparison Analysis

In order to determine which system is the most efficient one, the comparison should be made. The comparison is conducted between the current system and the three proposed systems. To compare the systems, several replications are required to make the result more accurate. Therefore, several replications are conducted in the simulation, and the average result will be compared. In order to compare the system, statistical methods are required, specifically the hypothesis test.

If the data is normally distributed, the comparison among alternatives systems can be conducted using ANOVA statistical method. The hypothesis is as follows:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu$$
$$H_0: \mu_i \neq \mu$$

If reject Ho, so it is mean that at least one means are different between alternative. If not reject, so it means that no significant different among the alternatives.

If the data is not normally distributed and independent, so normal distribution could not be used. Non parameteric test will be used. To compare between two alternative, so Kruskal-Wallis Rank Test will be used. The hyphothesis are shown as belows.

$$H_0: M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = M$$
$$H_0: M_i \neq M$$

If reject Ho, so it is mean that at least one median are different between alternative. If not reject, so it is mean that no significant different between the alternative.

4.10 Output Comparison

4.10.1 Total Exits of Re-registration Entities

After improving the current system by proposed system 1, 2, and 3, the resuts are collected by 25 replications and the results of the output system are showed as below.

		registiatio	
Annual Exit -Current	P1	P2	P3
System			
44	94	80	141
40	86	89	132
45	91	87	154
50	91	85	157
47	98	85	166
47	89	95	155
39	92	84	138
48	100	87	133
48	99	99	156
47	88	90	157
47	107	82	137
46	98	86	163
41	90	94	146
48	98	80	137
46	100	96	160
46	99	76	133
50	87	83	160
47	93	73	147
45	98	87	158
47	93	90	130
53	84	90	144
49	86	91	145
44	99	101	148
43	91	98	149
46	92	83	163

Table 4.31 Total Exits of Reregistration Entities

The result of ANOVA between current system and 3 alternative are shown as belows.

Figure 4.8 ANOVA Result 1

Method

Null hypothesis All means are equal Alternative hypothesis At least one mean is different Significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ Equal variances were assumed for the analysis. Factor Information Factor Levels Values Factor 4 ANNUAL EXIT; P1; P2; P3 Analysis of Variance Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value Factor 3 132201 44066,9 828,00 0,000 Error 96 5109 53,2 Total 99 137310 Model Summary S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred) 7,29526 96,28% 96,16% 95,96%

Since the p-value is 0, which is higher than 0.05, so it could be conlcuded that at least one mean is different. To know which alternative are different with the current system, so tukey comparasion are conducted as belows.

Figure 4.9 Tukey Result 1

Tukey Pairwise Comparisons

Grouping Information Using the Tukey Method and 95% Confidence

Factor	N	Mean	Grouping
P3	25	148,36	A
P1	25	93,72	в
P2	25	87,64	С
ANNUAL EXIT	25	46,120	D

Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

Tukey Simultaneous Tests for Differences of Means

	Difference	SE of			Adjusted
Difference of Levels	of Means	Difference	95% CI	T-Value	P-Value
P1 - ANNUAL EXIT	47,60	2,06	(42,20; 53,00)	23,07	0,000
P2 - ANNUAL EXIT	41,52	2,06	(36,12; 46,92)	20,12	0,000
P3 - ANNUAL EXIT	102,24	2,06	(96,84; 107,64)	49,55	0,000
P2 - P1	-6,08	2,06	(-11,48; -0,68)	-2,95	0,021
P3 - P1	54,64	2,06	(49,24; 60,04)	26,48	0,000
P3 - P2	60,72	2,06	(55,32; 66,12)	29,43	0,000

Individual confidence level = 98,97%



If on interval does not contain zero. the corresponding means are significantly different.

From the tukey comparasion, it showed that the p-value is lower than 0.05. So it could be concluded that the alternative system (P1, P2, and P3) are significantly different with annual exit (current model). To prove whether it is correct, so tukey simultaneous 95% CI are showed as below. It could be seen that all comparasion do not include the 0, so significantly different. Because of that, so all alternative proposed could be implemented to the real system because of significantly different with current model.

4.10.2 Total Exits of Re-registration 5 Years Entities

After improving the current system by proposed system 1, 2, and 3, the results are collected by 25 replications, and the results of the output system are showed in Table 4.32.

5YEARS EXITS	P1	P2	P3	
23	44	45	82	
25	49	44	74	
30	43	45	66	
17	42	37	81	
21	41	38	79	
26	45	57	81	
29	37	39	74	
21	36	38	75	
23	37	44	75	
26	39	41	83	
25	31	44	80	
27	42	42	74	
26	39	46	69	
22	36	33	70	
22	44	34	62	
23	36	47	78	
24	36	49	75	
23	41	47	83	
19	43	39	65	
23	36	32	75	
18	47	41	73	
19	40	43	88	
22	36	39	70	
27	40	54	79	
28	43	41	68	

Table 4.32 Total Exits of Reregistration 5 Years Entities

The result of ANOVA between current system and 3 alternative are shown as below.

Figure 4.10 ANOVA Result 2

One-way ANOVA: 5YEARS EXITS; P1; P2; P3

Method

Null hypothesis All means are equal Alternative hypothesis At least one mean is different Significance level $\alpha = 0.05$

Equal variances were assumed for the analysis.

Factor Information

Factor Levels Values Factor 4 5YEARS EXITS; P1; P2; P3

Analysis of Variance

Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value Factor 3 34993 11664,4 445,43 0,000 Error 96 2514 26,2 Total 99 37507

Model Summary

S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred) 5,11729 93,30% 93,09% 92,73%

Figure 4.11 Tukey Result 2

Tukey Pairwise Comparisons

Grouping Information Using the Tukey Method and 95% Confidence

Pactor	N	Mean	Grouping
23	25	75,16	λ
P2	25	42,36	в
19	25	40,120	в
STRARS EXITS	25	23,560	Ċ.

Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

Tukey Simultaneous Tests for Differences of Means

	Difference	SE of			Adjusted
Difference of Levels	of Means	Difference	95% CT	T-Value	F-Value
PL - SYEARS EXIIS	16,56	1,45	(12, 77; 20, 35)	11,44	0,000
P2 - STEARS EXITS	18,80	1,45	(15,01: 22,59)	12,99	0,000
PS - SYEARS EXIIS	51,68	1,45	[07,01/ 55,39]	35,65	8,009
22 - 21	2,24	1,45	(-1,557 E,03)	1,55	0,413
23 - PL	35,94	1,45	(31,26; 38,83)	24,21	0,009
23 - 22	32,90	1,45	(29,01/ 36,59)	32,66	0,000

Individual confidence level = 98,97%



if an interval does not contain zero, the corresponding means are significantly different

Since the p-value is 0, which is higher than 0.05, so it could be conlcuded that at least one mean difference. To know which alternative are different from the current system, so tukey comparasion are conducted as below.

The tukey comparasion showed that the p-value compared with the current model (5 years exits) is lower than 0.05. So it could be concluded that the alternative system (P1, P2, and P3) are significantly different with 5 years registration exits (current model). Comparison between alternative, it could be seen that P2 –P1 is not significantly different, but between P3-P1 and P3-P2 are significantly different. To prove whether it is correct, so tukey simultaneous 95% CI are showed as below. It could be seen that all comparison, exclude P2-P1, do not include the 0, so significantly different. Because of that, so all alternative proposed could be implemented to the real system because of significantly different with current model.

4.10.3 Vehicle Changing Information

After improving the current system by proposed system 1, 2, and 3, the resuts are collected by 25 replications and the results of the output system are showed as below.

Table	4.33	Total	Exits	of	Vehicle	Changing	Information
Entitie	s						

INFO CHANGE EXITS	P1	P2	P3
3	9	4	10
4	9	6	10
4	10	4	9
2	7	4	9
3	10	5	10
2	11	5	8
3	8	6	10
4	9	4	7
4	10	5	8
3	9	4	8
3	9	5	9
4	9	6	9
2	8	4	10
3	8	5	9
3	9	4	8
3	9	4	10
4	9	5	9
2	11	5	8
4	10	4	7
4	11	5	9
4	10	4	9
4	11	4	9
4	10	5	9
3	9	5	12
3	9	4	9

The result of ANOVA between current system and 3 alternatives are shown as below.

Figure 4.12 ANOVA Result 3

One-way ANOVA: INFO CHANGE EXITS; P1; P2; P3

```
Method
```

Null hypothesis All means are equal Alternative hypothesis At least one mean is different Significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ Equal variances were assumed for the analysis. Factor Information Factor Levels Values Factor 4 INFO CHANGE EXITS; P1; P2; P3 Analysis of Variance Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value Factor 3 705,95 235,317 287,56 0,000 Error 96 78,56 0,818 Total 99 784,51 Model Summary S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred) 0,904618 89,99% 89,67% 89,13%

Since the p-value is 0, which is higher than 0.05, so it could be conlcuded that at least one mean is different. To know which alternative are different with the current system, so tukey comparasion are conducted as belows.

Figure 4.13 ANOVA Result 3

Tukey Pairwise Comparisons

Grouping Information Using the Tukey Method and 95% Confidence

Factor	N	Mean	Grouping
P1	25	9,360	A
P3	25	9,000	A
P2	25	4,640	в
INFO CHANGE EXITS	25	3,280	С

Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

Tukey Simultaneous Tests for Differences of Means

	Difference	SE of			Adjusted
Difference of Levels	of Means	Difference	95% CI	T-Value	P-Value
P1 - INFO CHANGE	6,080	0,256	(5,411; 6,749	23,76	0,000
P2 - INFO CHANGE	1,360	0,256	(0,691; 2,029	5,32	0,000
P3 - INFO CHANGE	5,720	0,256	(5,051; 6,389	22,36	0,000
P2 - P1	-4,720	0,256	(-5,389; -4,051	-18,45	0,000
P3 - P1	-0,360	0,256	(-1,029; 0,309) -1,41	0,498
P3 - P2	4,360	0,256	(3,691; 5,029	17,04	0,000

Individual confidence level = 98,97%



The tukey comparasion showed that the p-value of comparison with the current model (info changes exits) is lower than 0.05. So it could be concluded that the alternative system (P1, P2, and P3) are significantly different with changing information registration exits (current model). The comparison between alternatives shows that P3 –P1 is not significantly different, but between P2-P1 and P3-P2 are significantly different. To prove whether it is correct, so tukey simultaneous 95% CI is showed as below. It could be seen that all comparison, exclude P3-P1, do not include the 0, so significantly different. Thus, all proposed alternatives could be implemented to the real system because of significantly different with the current model.

4.10.4 Eks Region Registration

After improving the current system by proposed system 1, 2, and 3, the resuts are collected by 25 replications and the results of the output system are showed as below.

OUTSIDE EXITS	P1	P2	P3
3	8	6	11
2	8	5	9
2	12	4	10
4	9	5	9
3	11	5	11
3	12	6	9
3	8	4	10
3	8	5	9
3	9	6	10
4	9	5	11
4	9	4	11
4	8	5	11
3	9	6	12
3	9	6	10
4	10	5	10
2	8	5	10
2	7	5	11
4	8	4	9
2	10	6	12
2	8	6	10

Table 4.34 Total Exits of Reregistration Entities

3	8	5	9	
4	9	4	11	
2	9	5	12	
3	9	5	9	
4	8	4	9	

The sample medians for the current model is three, and the three proposed systems are 9, 5, and 10. The average ranks show that proposed system 3 differs the most from the average rank for all observations and that this proposed system is higher than the overall median.

Both p-values are less than the significance level of 0.05. The pvalues indicate that the median number of the proposed system differs for at least one system.

Figure 4.14 Kruskal-Wallis Test

Kruskal-Wallis Test: OUTSIDE TIME versus PROPOSED SYSTEM

Kruskal-Wa	allis	Test on	OUTSIDE	TIME	
PROPOSED					
SYSTEM	N	Median	Ave Rank	k Z	
Current	25	3,000	14,0	0 -7,27	
P1	25	9,000	68,0	0 3,48	
P2	25	5,000	37,0	0 -2,68	
P3	25	10,000	83,0	0 6,47	
Overall	100		50,9	5	
H = 85,53 H = 86,68	DF = DF =	= 3 P = = 3 P =	0,000 0,000	(adjusted	for ties)

4.10.5 New Vehicle Registration

After improving the current system by proposed system 1, 2, and 3, the resuts are collected by 25 replications, and the results of the output system are showed as below.

VEHICLE EXITS	P1	P2	P3
5	20	9	18
5	21	7	20
5	21	6	18
5	20	8	17
6	20	8	17
7	20	9	19
5	19	8	14
5	21	7	15
5	20	7	18
5	20	9	20
5	19	9	18
5	20	6	17
5	20	10	15
5	16	8	19
5	20	8	18
7	20	5	17
5	19	8	19
5	19	7	20
5	19	8	18
5	20	7	18
5	20	9	14
5	20	6	16
5	20	8	19
5	20	8	19
5	18	6	15

Table 4.35	Total Exits	of New	Vehicle	Registration	Entities
14010 1100		••••••			

The result of ANOVA between the current system and three alternative are shown as below.

Figure 4.15 ANOVA Result 4

```
One-way ANOVA: NEW VEHICLE EXITS; P1; P2; P3
Method
                     All means are equal
Null hypothesis
Alternative hypothesis At least one mean is different
Significance level
                     \alpha = 0,05
Equal variances were assumed for the analysis.
Factor Information
Factor Levels Values
Factor 4 NEW VEHICLE EXITS; P1; P2; P3
Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
Factor 3 3841,5 1280,52 833,76 0,000
Error 96 147,4 1,54
Total 99 3989,0
Model Summary
S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred)
1,23929 96,30% 96,19% 95,99%
```

Since the p-value is 0, which is higher than 0.05, so it could be concluded that at least one mean is different. To know which alternatives are different from the current system, so tukey comparison are conducted as below.

Figure 4.16 Tukey Result 4

Tukey Pairwise Comparisons					
Grouping Information	n Using the	e Tukey Method	and 95% Confidence		
Factor	N Mean	Grouping			
P1 2	25 19,680	A			
P3 2	25 17,520	В			
P2 2	25 7,640	С			
NEW VEHICLE EXITS	25 5,200	D			
Tukey Simultaneous 1	lests for 1	Differences of	Means		
	Differe	nce SE of			Adjusted
Difference of Levels	s of Mea	ans Difference	95% CI	T-Value	P-Value
P1 - NEW VEHICLE	14,	480 0,351	(13,563; 15,397)	41,31	0,000
P2 - NEW VEHICLE	2,	440 0,351	(1,523; 3,357)	6,96	0,000
P3 - NEW VEHICLE	12,	320 0,351	(11,403; 13,237)	35,15	0,000
P2 - P1	-12,	040 0,351	(-12,957; -11,123)	-34,35	0,000
P3 - P1	-2,	160 0,351	(-3,077; -1,243)	-6,16	0,000
P3 - P2	9,	880 0,351	(8,963; 10,797)	28,19	0,000

Individual confidence level = 98,97%

i



The tukey comparison showed that the p-value is lower than 0.05. So it could be concluded that the alternative system (P1, P2, and P3) are significantly different with annual exit (current model). To prove whether it is correct, so tukey simultaneous 95% CI is showed as below. It could be seen that all comparison does not include the 0, so significantly different. Thus, all

alternatives could be implemented to the real system because they are significantly different from the current model.

After comparing the three proposed systems with the current model in each entity, the researcher would now like to know which one is the best alternative to maximize the number of exits of each entity. So the comparison between the proposed system is shown below.

Before summarizing which one is the best method, concluding all significant relationship between alternative, all of the relationships are a significant difference except the relationship in the table below.

Relationship		Entity		Significant	
P2-P1		Annual		Not Differen	t
P2-P1		5 Years		Not Differen	t
P3-P1		Vehicle Information	Changing	Not Differen	t
	Test	Current	P1	P2	P3
Annual	ANOVA	46.12	93.72	87.64	148.36
5 Years	ANOVA	23.56	40.12	42.36	75.16
Vehicle Changing Info	ANOVA	3.28	9.36	4.64	9
Eks Region	Kruskall – Wallis	3	9	5	10
New Vehicle	ANOVA	5.2	19.68	7.64	17.52

Table 4.36 Summary of Comparison Results

The table above shows that between proposed system 3 and proposed system 1 in the vehicle changing information entity, not significantly different, so in proposes system 3 could be considered the highest value with proposed system 3. The table below shows that most of the highest number of entities located on proposed system 3. So it could be concluded that the proposed system 3 as the best method to maximizing the number of entities exist compared with proposed system 1, 2 and 3. But otherwise, it will cost more because the Samsat Cikarang should hire a new employee and need the effort to minimize the inefficient work method and simply the SOP to reduce the service time. Summary of comparing among three methods is shown in Table 4.37

Table 4.37 Summary of Proposed System Improvement

No	Proposed System	Improvement
1	Proposed System 3	Adding capacity location and
		reduce service time
2	Proposed System 1	Adding capacity location
3	Proposed System 2	Reducing service time by training an employee and simply the SOP

From the comparison, it could be concluded that the proposed system 3 as the best method, then proposed system 1, and the last proposed system 2.

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Conclusion

To summarize this report, there are several points concluded, such as :

- From the current model, the total exit number of each entity in the current model, such as registration annually (46.12 peoples), five years registration (23.56 peoples), vehicle changing info (3.28 peoples), ex region registration (3 peoples), and new vehicle registration (5.2 peoples). It should be improved to increase the service performance.
- In the current model, the service time in each registration locket was considered too high. The service time should be reduced by giving training to the employee and simplifying the SOP to improve the service performance.
- After analyze and improve the service performance by several proposed system, from the three proposed system, the best method is proposed system 3, which can maximize the number of total exits. This method is considered a long-term method by adding capacity in several locations such as vehicle physical checking, registration locket, and taking plat locket; it also gives training to the employee and simplifies the SOP to minimize the service time.

5.2 Recommendation

There are several recommendations from this report, such as :

- To implement the proposed system, several aspects should be considered well, such as the budget to improve the system.
- The employee that will be hired should be qualified and fulfill the standard requirements.
- By simplifying the SOP, it does not mean change the whole of the process. It reduces the unneccesary activities and simplifies the process to reduce the standard time needed for the process.

REFERENCES

- Banks, J., Carson II, J.S., Nelson, B.L., and Nicol, D.M. (2005). Discrete-Event System Simulation 4th ed. Pearson.
- Freivalds, A. (2010). *Nieble's Methods, Standards, and Work Design*. New York: The Mc Graw Hill Companies, Inc.
- Harrell, C., Ghosh, B. K., & Bowden, R. O. (2012). *Simulation Using ProModel*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Kendall, K. E., & Kendall, J. E. (2014). System Analysis and Design. New Jersey: Peasron Education, Inc.
- Montgomery, D., & Runger, G. (2011). *Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineers*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- WHO. (2005). *Hospitals*. Retrieved October 17, 2017, from http://www.who.int/hospitals/en/

About the Author

Anastasia Lidva Maukar is a lecturer in the Industrial Engineering study program at the Presidential University, Clkarang, West Java, The author is a graduate of industrial engineering from the University of Surabava, a Master of Science in Information Svstem Development from the Universitv of Hertfordshire and a Master in Industrial Engineering Technology Management from the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya. The research and teaching areas of the author are the facility layout, database and information systems, industrial statistics, and production systems.

Erri Wahyu Puspitarini is a lecturer in Information Systems at STMIK Yadika Bangil. The author was graduated from Information Systems from STIKOM Surabaya and Masters in Technology Management from the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya. She actively teaches in the field of management information systems, IT research and research methodology. The author is also active in conducting research and obtaining grants from the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education.

IMPROVING SERVICE PERFORMANCE USING SIMULATION SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY

Anastasia Lidya Maukar Erri Wahyu Puspitarini

This book presents the use of a simulation approach in evaluating and improving system performance. In general, this book presents the important steps in creating a simulation model, both for the current system and for the proposed system for improvement. Discussions about data collection and data analysis, as well as the use of ProModel software in developing simulation models are also discussed in this book.

This research was conducted at Samsat Cikarang. Through the simulation approach it was found that the current system performance still needs to be improved. After making improvement ideas and testing them in a simulation model, this study provides several inputs that can be used to improve services at Samsat Cikarang.

President University

Jalan Ki Hajar Dewantara, Mekarmukti, Cikarang Utara, Bekasi 17530

